

kmmons Debates

Hon. Mr. BLAKE'S SPEECH

PACIFIC RAILWAY RESOLUTIONS.

OTTAWA, 5th FEBRUARY, 1884.

Mr. BLAKE. I believe that whatever other predictions the hon. gentleman may have made in respect to the Canadian Pacific Railway in the past, which have hardly yet come true, whate er other predictions he may have made to night, which may yet be falsified, one prediction he has made, which, I dare say, he has taken steps to see shall be verified, namely, that the Resolutions shall not be lost, but shall carry. Notwithstanding, it is my duty to point out to an Assembly, which, I am well aware, does not receive with acceptance the views which I entertain, some positions which, I th.nk, are fit to be considered by the House, fit to be considered by the country, before a decision is reached on the important question which is submitted to us. It is an important question. The hon, gentleman has treated it as one of very small moment to a country of such ample ex ent, of such large resources, with a glorious present, with s ch a wondrous future as he has depicted, to enter into additional engagements involving thirty m llions of money -s really not f nuch co s quence. And when it is to absolutely certain, as the hon. gentleman has poin ed out, that the engagement is only nominal, formal, temporary, sure to be redeemed, it is of less consequence still. But, Sir, I think the people at large will believe that before Parliament should have been called on even to take the initiatory step which the hon. gentleman propos s we shall this night take, it was right that

more information-more detailed information; that more light should have been thrown on the situation in many respects than that which has been vouchsafed to us. It is not to be forgotten that for years pastwe have been moving for infor ation upon important particulars connected with the progress and operations of the Canadian Pacific Railway Company, answers to which have not even now been vouchsafed. It is not to be forgotten with reference to that the price which the stock realized, the mode in which it was distributed amongst the corporators, the values actually obtained for it, the prices in stock which were paid for certain works; it is not to be forgotten that with reference to the contracts which the Company have entered into, information has been asked, not this Session only, but in previous Sessions; guaranteed, so far as the Government were concerned-in words guaranteed, so far as assent by this House was given-in words-tut not folloved by any effectual result; and that today we are asked to go into Committee in the face of these repeated and ineffectual demands for that information, which, in part under the law of the land, the Company was bound from year to year to supply and in part, under the powers which this Horse and Government possess, it was on demand also bound to supply. Information has been given us this Session with reference to this proposal, and the usual papers have in part been laid on the Table. But we should have been placed in possession of the hon, gentleman presses forward the con-

sideration of his proposal before these the subject, then perhaps somewhat stale, papers have been printed, before they become accessible to hon. members. I happen to have been able to read in manuscript some portion of it, and only some portion. am not aware that any other member of the two hundred and odd who compose this Chamber and are supposed to take an interest in this question, have read any portion of these papers, save the letter of Mr. Stephen, which has appeared in public print. Of course I except hon, gentlemen who belong to the Administration and who had previous opportunities for reading, as they were responsible for these papers; but those of us who have not had that advantage are called upon, as I say, to take the initial step without having had a fair opportunity of seeing what the grounds are. The statement which the hon. gentleman himself has made to-night—the maze of figures into which he entered - varies in some particulars -- not, perhaps, of any very great consequence, but sufficiently so to derange the calculation somewhat—from that which is presented in the letter of the President of the Cempany; and some more light, it is true, he has thrown on some of the details, in the speech he has made to us. But that light, partial though it is, dim though it is, microscopic though the gleam is that is thrown on the subject-is all in respect of points on which information has been repeatedly demanded, and, up to this time, practically refused. Now, Sir, the proposal is one of extreme gravity. To those of us who remember the discussion which took place when this contract was entered into -to those of us who remember the observations which from time to time were made by hon. members who sustained that contract, it comes as a very unpleasing surprise. Why, were we not told that the country felt uneasy as to the unknown quantity of the possible demands in reference to the Canadian Pacific Railway? Were we not told that the country was deoided, and that Parliament, expressing the views of the country was determined, that once for all it should be definitely settled what the limit of our obligations was? Were we not told that it was better for us to know how much it was, even though it was a large sum, and make up our minds to it, and have an end to the matter? Why, it was only a Session or two ago that an hon, member—I think the hon, member for West Toronto (Mr. Beaty)-recurring to Dominion of Canada.

said that the people were satisfied about it, because they felt such an ease at knowing the limit of their obligation. There it was, and we buckled ourselves to the work, and braced our shoulders to the enterprise, knowing the price of the Government sections and the amount of the cash subsidy, and knowing perfectly well that we should not be called upon for any more. Well, some two or three years have elapsed since the contract was made, and we are called upon to advance \$22,500,000 cash—a sum very nearly equal to the original subsidy, and to pledge our credit for nearly \$7,500-000 more; so that the element of finality, which was so paraded as one of the things which was to cause the contract to be favourably received by the House and the country, has pretty well vanished from the scene to-night. Were we not also told, time and again, of the enormous resources, wealth, and credit of the Syndicate with whom the centract was made—that this was a consideration of the utmost consequence-that it was so important to enlist not merely Canadians, but capitalists in other countries, in the States, in England, in France, with such ample means that they were themselves able to build a Ganadian Pacific Railway? Were we not told time and again that we were ensured in the successful completion of the contract by the enormous resources of credit and of capital, and of confidence which had clustered round the corporators to whom hon. gentlemen opposite entrusted the work? It is not so long ago that this statement was very emphat'lly repeated. It was not merely at the sime of the passage of the contract that it did good service; it was not merely in that House which I always thought and I say it to its credit was somewhat reluctant to accept the contract, that this statement was made; but at a later period-some time in November, 1881 the hon, leader of the Government made this statement at Toronto:

"What have we done? We went to England; we opened negotiations with capitalists of France England and New York. We did not want to have only one string to our bow. We made a se ection from these three great markets of capita, and we have formed the strongest and most enterprising body of capita ists that ever built a railway from the beginning of railways to the present time."

Sir JOHN A. MACDONALD. Hear hear.

Mr. BL.KE. Yes, Mr. Speaker, it appears they have a sleeping partner, the i to toni Well, did no the ho hope plete Moun

Sir nearly Mr and y their althou wholly this strong ing th States strong begin to do more earlie may : tructe boast been 1 the st time the P were -- be capita of the so fas to co datewith that t there cusse respe our] man three count in th be a 1 const dawn

three

ascer

to Ca not b He s what stale. d about it. t knowing ere it was work, and enterprise, ment sech subsidy. we should

Well. 4. psed since are called a sum subsidy, y \$7,500f finality. he things ct to be

and the from the also told. resources. cate with that this st conseto enlist alists in England. ans that

build a we not ensured contract it and of

ad clusom hon. ork? It ent was was not of the it was

alvays it-was contract, ut at a er, 1881

we opened and New ing to our at markets a railway

nt made

Hear

aker, it ier, the "The whole country sees it. The whole country knows it. At this moment they are laying down a mile and a half of naiway every day, and should no unexpected socilent or mistortune happen, they intend. and believe they will have the whole railway soustructed, from the Red River to the isoet of the Rocky Mountains, before the mows of 1882 fail."

Well, they did not quite do that. They did not quite carry out the scheme which the hon, gentleman was sanguine enough to hope they would carry out, namely, complete the railway to the foot of the Rocky Mountains by the end of 1882.

Sir JOHN A. MACDONALD. Very

nearly.

Mr BLAKE. Well, they did not do it; and you may conceive how gigantic were their plans when that was their hope, although what they did do is set forth as wholly unprecedented. Now, it seems that this great combination of capital, the strongest that was ever formed-comprising the strength of Canada, of the United States, of England and of France-yes, the strongest that was ever formed from the beginning of the world to to-day-comesto do what? Comes to ask us for some more money, in order that they may.earlier, it is true—but in order that they may implement the work which they contracted to perform; and it seems that the boast which, for the last year or two, has been resounding continuously in our earsthe statement which we have heard repeated time and again, that the Government and the Parliament which passed the contract were vindicated-vindicated triumphantly -because of the wonderful display of capital, of credit, and of energy on the part of the corporators-because they were going so fast and so far-because they were going to complete the railway at such an early date—is a statement which is to be taken with this rather large grain of allowance, that they will do it if we pay for it. Now, there is another point which was much discussed at the time of the contract, and in respect to which also this night vindicates We heard the hon. gentleour position. man point out-with an appreciation, some three years late, of what the interests of the country require—that it was important, in the interest of Canada, that there should be a new allocation of the subsidies for the construction of the road. It has now dawned upon his mind-he has now after three years of reflection and experience ascertained—that it is positively dangerous to Canada that the road should be paid for not by a pro rata but by a mileage rate.

not at all in the interest of the Company, oh no !-- it is important we should take care that the Company does not get an excessive subsidy for the lighter work that remains to be done, because if that should happen when they come to the heavy 95 miles of which he spoke, where would be the money He forgot that they were to build it. already at the 95 miles, that they were stopped there and that the difficulty was not in the present condition of the contract, that the money would be gone in the lighter work before they came to the heavy, but that they were at the heavy work and they wanted to get part of the money allocated to the light which is to come after. But, as I said, it is about three years too late, and I am sorry we could not persuade the hon. gentleman at an earlier period of the soundness of the view he takes to-night. We argued that the subsidies should be distributed in proportion to the whole cost of We declared that it was imthe work. portant, in the interest of the country that, if certain lands and certain moneys were to be paid to this Company for the work, they should get it in proportion as the total amount of subsidy was to the value of the work to be done at a particular point. We pointed out that the hon, gentleman was applying a different principle—and to that very portion of the work which would be earliest done he gave a wholly dispropor-We pointed tionate part of the subsidy. out that thus the resources which were to be retained for the heavy work would be gone before the heavy work was reached, and we begged that the principle of pro rata distribution should be applied at a time when it might have been usefully applied. But the hon, gentleman could not be persuaded by us. Oh, no, he said, we admit that the subsidy is wholly disproportionate for the prairie part relatively to the subsidies for the other part of the work. There is no doubt, that we might arrange to pay according to the proportionate value of the work. But we deliberately decline to adopt any such principle. We intend that the earliest and lightest work shall have far more in proportion than that which is to be given for the late and heavy work. Now that the Company have come to the heavy work they want the position reversed. They have had all the benefit practically of the other position, of getting a disproportionate share of the subsidy on the principle He says that in the interest of Canada - of mileage rates assigned to the different

sections, and having come to the heavy work, question to which I have directed attention it is now proposed that another principle several times. How has it been built? that difficulty. I have said the resources which were due to the ends of the work are gove, and it is necessary to see that full enquiry is made, as to how it is that these resources have disappeared. That is the prime duty of this House before even ap proaching the consideration of the question whether it will give more. It was the prime duty of this House to watch that subject, altogether apart from the question of giving more—it was the duty of the House to watch it, having as 't had, the right to see what the contracter ere and to consider how the work was really going on; but today, when it is indicated that to carry out the plans into which the Company and the Government have entered, it is nece sary that this enormous advance should be made, it becomes obviously an imperative duty on our part to ascertain how the account for the past really stands; how it happens that so large a sum has been expended in the work which has been done. prairie in the North-West, roughly calculat-Now, for the ing what the hon. gentleman's figures gave, I apprehend that independent of equipment, and, I presume, without ballasting, the road in the North-West, the main line must have cost something close upon \$18,-000 a mile; and I have never been able to see how such a figure could be justified as the fair cost of constructing that line through that country. If you look at the price which the hon, gentl man has affixed to the branches in the North-West, you will find a very different statement as to the mileage cost there. I said the other day, and I repeat now, that a large portion of this expens is p obably due to speed. You cannot build a railway at the rate at which this has bee a built without paying more for its construction than you would if it were built at the ordinary speed, or at a speed not so extraordinary as to be called " absolutely unprecedented." such speed as this means cost, and that it was quite impossible, whether with reference to location, whether with reference to economical arrangements for construct on, whether with reference to the supply of labour or materials, the road could have been built as cheaply at the rate at which it was built, as it could be if a more moderate rate of speed had been adopted.

By whom has it been built? I have called for information upon that subject. The law called for it. The Corsolidated Railway Act made it the statutory duty of this Company to return to the Minister of Railways in each year, on the 30th of June, the contracts which had been made for the construction of the railway. That law was violated by this Company. They deliberately disobeyed it. They did not return those contracts. Parliament was informed of that violation. I asked repeatedly for the contracts. Eventually I moved for one contract and the instrument of association of the North American Contracting Company, but Parliament declined to have the law enforced, and would not order the production of these papers. Parliament preferred to be kept in ignorance as to these things which the law had said should be disclosed. And to-night, although Parliament has recanted rather that view within the last few days, although we unanimously passed Addresses calling for the production of those contracts and representing that it was of high public consequence that this law should be obeyed and those contracts should be produced, still the law is not obeyed, still the eontracts are not produced; and it is only in the speech of the Minister when he invites the House to go into Committee of the Whole to cons der the proposition that we are let, to a small extent, into the character of the a rangements for construction. And the hon, gentleman now informs us that the work has been done by a contracting or construction company, in which were many members of the Syndicate. So that the corporation has been contracting wi h its own members for construction. He tells us that that arrangement has now terminated, that it terminated when the supplies terminated, that it terminated when they could no longer find money to keep the construction company going; and amongst the sums embraced in the total of \$58,700,000, which the President of the Company claims cred t for, as having provided for the construction of the road, is a debit b lance against the construction company, and in favour of the Syndicate, of \$600,000; so that although they stopped operations because there was no money to But there is another question, and it is a within \$600,000 of the money that was in pay them with, they did not do work

their b it seer far as them, structi think . corpor gentle the Co pany, were no k moved to obt gentle the T decide

> Sir I hop Mr not Whet sume sever also Now Com whet comp of th whet the (cont side the wha deci just no und tho suc in the it h the gen

> > or b beir trac 201

> > > to

it seems these were the arrangements, so far as light has already been thrown upon them, between the Company and its construction company. Now, Sir, I do not think I do injustice to the Company and its corporators in assuming, since the hon. gentleman has said that many members of the Company were corporators in this Company, that several directors of the Company were corporators in it. I may say I have done. I do not know how many hon. memno knowledge on the subject. I have moved repeatedly and I have been unable to obtain the information as yet. The hon. gentleman indicates that he will lay it on the Table, shortly; I suppose after we have decided what we shall do.

Sir CHARLES TUPPER. To morrow,

ntion

built?

called

Rail-

f this.

Rail-

, the

con-

was

iber-

turn

med

for

one

tion

om-

the

the

ent

to

uld

gh

ew-

we

or

nd

ic

e

0-

0

The

I hope. Mr. BLAKE. To morrow. Then we are not going to decide to-night, I hope. Whether I do injustice or not, I shall assume, in the absence of the information, that several of the directors of the Company are also members of the construction company. Now, what relation does a director of the Company who contracts with the Company, whether as a member of the construction of the Company he is bound to consider whether the contract is a favourable one for the Company or not. As a member of the bound to concontracting company he sider whether the contract is favourable to the contracting party or not. It is a somewhat difficult task which he undertakes to decide, to hold the balance just, to do no injustice to the railway company, and to do no injustice to the contracting company, under such circumstances. Parliament has thought that men ought not to be placed in such an invidious position, and for fear that in the conflict between their interest and their duty, interest might prevail over duty, it has forbidden such arrangements; for in the Consolidated Railway Act of the hon. gentlemen, it is provided that:

"No person ho ding any office, place or employment in or being concerned or interested in any contract under or with the Company, shall be capable of being chosen a director or of holding the office of director; nor shall any person being a director of the Company enter into or be directly or indirect y for his own use or benefit interested in any contract with the company not relating to the purchase of land necessary for the railway, or be or become a partner of any contractor with the company."

And if, therefore, it be the case that this contracting company is composed practically to a large extent -- to any extent -- of dithe contract, I apprehend they have vio- any demands made by the Company, or any

their hands already, paid in advance. And | lated not merely the spirit, but also the letter of the law; and that we may look with not unnatural suspicion upon any such contract. The law also provides that no contracts for work of construction or maintenance, etc., shall be entered into until after tenders have been invited in advertisements given at least four weeks in some newspaper published in the place nearest to that at which the work is required to be bers saw the advertisement published by the Canadian Pacific Company calling for tenders. I do not know in what newspapers it was published. I dare say it was published; but I dere say it was published in newspapers which do not receive a very extensive circulation. I know I was never able to hear of any invitation to the general public to tender for the works of the Canadian Pacific Railway Company; and I am not surprised if, as a matter of fact, the works constructed by the railway company have been constructed by a contracting company composed largely of the directors of that corporation. Now, we pointed out when the contract was being discussed in Parliament the possiblilty of company or not, occupy? As a director arrangements of this description, the possibility of a larger price being thus obtained for the work than the work really cost; and I say again that we are entitled especially are we entitled, in view of the refusal to inform us, in view of the defiance of the law to which I have referred, in view of the statement made at this last moment by the Minister,—we are entitled to full and searching enquiry into this matter as a preliminary to considering what further and more intimate relations we shall engage in with this corporation. It may be, although the contracting company has been dissolved, or has ceased its operations, that, if the fountains of Canadian liberality are opened once again, inasmuch as the hon, gentleman said it had stopped because the supplies had stopped-when the supplies are re-opened the company may be reorganized, and we may find that just so long as our liberality lasts the contracting company will be ready to spend the moneys which we liberally provide. But, I do not think that will be satisfactory to the people of the country, and I maintain that full enquiry upon this subject, searching enquiry, exhaustive enquiry, rigid enquiry, should precede, if this rectors of the railway company which made House is to do its duty, any recognition of

suggestion by the Government, that we the difficulties in which they find themshould further involve ourselves with it. Then, Sir, the bon. gentleman has said that it is demonstrated that the public funds have been used, have been used altogether -and far more than the public funds-on the contracted line; and he seems to think that it is wholly unnecessary to enter into many considerations which have been urged as pertinent to the present position of the Company, in view of the figures which he laid before us. I pointed out in the past, I pointed out the other day, and I point out to-night, that there is much more than the question of cash in this matter; that there were two important questions besides; that if you ally to cash, credit, there was the question of the responsibility involved in the various other enterprises, to which the hon. gentleman has not made an allusion. There was also the question of the energy, the time, the labour involved in connection with those other enterprises; and besides all that, there was the grave question of policy involved, in a company in the position and obliged to make the demands upon public confidence, which the Canadian Pacific Railway was to be obliged to do, according to the programme now before us, in involving itself in enterprises not connected with the original enterprise at all, so disconnected with it that, large, wide, ample as were their corporate powers, they were not adequate to enable them to engage in those enterprises, but, having engaged in them in some sort, through the medium of their directors or otherwise, in the way in which we know companies often do in matters beyond their corporate powers, they were obliged to come here—I think it was last year-for legis ation to enable them to engage formally and ostensib'y in those other enterprises; and even that legislation, it seems, has not been found adequate to the occasion, for we have before us at this present moment another Bill to give them additional powers to go on further in this direction of enterprises not contemplated by, nor embraced in the original charter. I say, Sir, that you cannot conclude by any arrangement of the figures—even if they were accurate, as I think I shall point out that they were not, presently—you cannot conclude even by such an array of figures as he has put forward, if they could be maintained, that everything we were entitled to expect from this Company has

selves are not due to something by them done. Now, Sir, it has been my fortune upon more than one occasion-upon three or four occasions—to point out since this contract was let, what the position of the Railway Company was under it, according to the statements of the Government, according to the statements of the Railway Company itself, and as I conceived them. I have pointed out that they had, as I thought they had, a magnificent contract, that they stood in a wonderfully good position. But, I also pointed out, lately, that no matter how magnificent the contract, ro matter how admirable the position the enterprise was one of such magnitude as to require the undivided energy and devotion of those concerned in it, as to require great prudence, wisdom and capacity in the conduct of it, else these elements of fortune which, as I conceived it unquestionably possessed, might not avail to prevent results disastrous to the corporation. And I pointed out, before, where I thought dangers existed in the course and conduct of that corporation, and to some of these, in a vague way, the hon. gentleman alluded this evening. We contended against the wide powers with reference to the extension of branches. I quite agree with the hon, gentleman that more railways than one in the North-West Territories are a necessity. I have always said so. I told him so when he was proposing the Canadian Pacific Railway measure. I agree with him—though I was a little surprised to hear him say so-that some of the branch lines this Company has built are of more importance than many sections of the main trunk line. How he reconciles that with his general notions as to the trunk line, I do not know; but however he may reconcile it with them, I quite agree with the sentiment which he expressed, I think, twice this evening, that there are branch lines that are more important than some sections of the main line of the Canadian Pacific Railway. But I contended with reference to the extension of the Canadian Pacific Railway, and with reference to its branches, that it was important that we should keep our control, and that we should decide for ourselves whether, and where such extension and such branches might be authorized. I felt that otherwise the funds been accomplished. We do not know that prudence might require to be devoted, to

the

mig

felt

Bai

yet

obt

ext

it v

you

W

hol

ent

eng

10

of ;

tha

dec

wit

bui

inc

bu

W

bee

dis

rig

Co

juc

a l

ho

Al

to

pr

to

th

bod

th

th

28

m

at

ro

hey find themthing by them en my fortune n-upon three out since this position of the r it, according vernment, acf the Railway nceived them. y had, as I cent contract. lerfully good d out, lately, ent the cone the position h magnitude ergy and deit, as to reand capacity elements of unquestionto prevent tion. And I thought nd conduct e of these, ntleman alded against to the exgree with e railways itories are id so. I osing the asure. I little surme of the ilt are of ns of the iles that e trunk he may ree with I think. e branch an some anadian d with anadian to its hat we should where ght be funds

which ed, to the construction of the contracted line, ever since, and we have not yet had laid might be diverted to other purposes; and I felt that each time the Canadian Pacific Railway Company, while this contract was yet incomplete, came to Parliament to obtain power to acquire or build an extension, or to acquire or build a branch, it would be pertinent to enquire, how are you getting on with your main contract?
What is being done? How is the money holding out? Or, is it certain that this enterprise in which you are proposing to engage will not involve you in one way or another in such sort that the conditions of your contract may be imperilled? All that was cast to the winds, and Parliament decided, at the instance of the hon. gentleman, to give a practically unlimited power with reference to extensions and branches —not abs-lutely an unlimited power to build in all directions, because it did not include power to run up through the eastern part of Ontario, as has since been donebut short of that, an unlimited power. Well, now, it has been said that what has been done, in so far as the hon. gentleman discussed what has been done, is all right; that it was important for the country, and that it was important for the Company, that the money should be judiciously expended, and has been so-and upon that subject I will trouble the House With reference to the route, a little later. however, the hon. gentleman has not upon that subject te-night. Although he announced that he was about to make his general statement as to the progress of the work, he did not think fit to embarrass the discussion by details upon the subject of route. We contended that too wide powers were given as to the route, that they would probably be used in a way which Parliament might not approve, that the road would probably be deflected too far to the south for the national interests, and that the special interest of the Company, as a monopolist and a cheap construc or, might be found to overbear those consider. ations which had up to that time fixed the route in another direction. Those interests have prevailed, and the road has been run very far to the southward. At a very early period, the Company decided upon running the road by Calgary, which meant upon running it via Kicking Horse Pass, or some route in that neighbourhood. They decided upon so doing before it was found that any pass was feasible; they have stuck to that It was with the view of issuing stock at a

before us such information as serves to show that even now a pass within the contract is feasible. On the contrary, the information laid before us indicates that no road can be constructed by that route within the meaning of the Canadian Pacific Railway contract: that the lowest maximum grades that can be obtained far exceed those maximum grades which are accepted as the standard grades, those, namely, of the Union Pacific Railway; and I maintain that without an Act of Parliament it is not within the power of the Executive to sanction the passing of the read by a route which does not give the grades which were prescribed in the contract, else the Executive alters, and does not execute, the contract. Well, then, Sir, much trouble has arisen already, and more trouble will arise, from the use of another power to which we objected, namely, the power of issuing stock for less than its cash par value. We pointed out that under this plan stock might be issued to an amount in excess altogether of the real requirements of the road, for considerations much less than its par and nominal value; that opportunities for the creation of speculative interests, for arrangements disadvantageous to the country in many particulars, might result from the exercise of such a power, and that ultimately the road would be loaded, as too many roads are loaded, with nominal capital far in excess of the money really required for its construction; and we find that that is so. It is true that no one, I do not believe that the hon. Ministers themselves, had the slightest idea of the extent to which that power would be used, because they would have been wanting in their plain duty to this House if, having the slightest idea of it, they had allowed the contract to go as they did, \$25,000,000 was named as the maximum capital, and all our calculations and discussions were predicated upon the idea that that was the largest capital this Company would issue, the largest nominal capital. It might represent a much smaller sum in cash. But availing themselves of a general authority in the Railway Act, which was made applicable to them under the hon. gentleman's provisions, they not long after incorporation increased their nominal capital to \$100,000,000. Now, no one pretended that they wanted \$100,000,000 of money.

discount; it was in order to float the stock called the attention of the hon. Minister of were created. It was not because they wanted \$100,000,000, but because for certain purposes they preferred issuing a large amount of nominal capital to secure a comparatively small amount of real cash. Well, one of the incidental difficulties arising upon that was much discussed. For some time the hon. Minister of Railways held very strongly that they were entitled to pay 10 per cent, upon the whole nominal amount of capital, but ultimately he receded from that position; the threatened split in the Cabinet on that subject was healed, and the hon, gentleman acknowledged that it was what the road really cost, and not the nominal amount of capital the road should govern, so far as we were concerned. But inthe meantime difficulty had grown out of it, because the Company announced that they were about to agree to pay interest upon their capital during construction at the rate of five per cent. The law says that interest may be paid during construction at a rate not exceeding 6 per cent. upon the amount actually paid up; and the stock being issued as paid up stock, the Company assumed itself to be entitled to pay interest at the rate of 5 per cent. upon the amount paid up. I maintained before, and I maintain now, that that is an illegal operation; that the Railway Act, which is the only authority for their taking the capital of the Company, and applying it to the payment of interest during construction, expressly limits that somewhat dangerous power to a six per cent. dividend upon the amount actually paid upon the stock, and that to agree to pay \$5 on every \$100 when \$46 or \$50 only have been paid, is to evade—not to evade, but really to violate the law. Another difficulty growing out of this mode of the emission of the stock was the uncertainty as to what the real capital of the Company was. The country was deeply interested in that, because the obligation which we had entered into as to the non-diminution of the tolls was dependent upon that, and yet upon that until to-night, we have been utterly unable to obtain any in ormation, and the information which we have obtained to-night is wery general and not at all satisfactory. Suggestions were made at an early period that the stock was being emitted at sixty. I afterwards saw a statement that the issue price was really fifty to the Syndicate which undertook the issue of the \$30,000,000. I

Railways to that statement, and he said he had never heard anything of the kind and indicated his own want of credence in the report. But, to-night, we learn for the first time what \$55,000,000 of stock has realized, and we learn that it has realized only \$25,-358,000; or if you are to assume that none has been distributed, none has been issued at a greater discount than another part, the stock has been emitted at 46 net to the Company as near as may be. Ought we not to know to what extent the Syndicate has increased its nominal holding of stock. They were to pay \$5,000,000 in cash, the original capital. I have no doubt they did so. But what does that now represent in stock of the Company? No doubt it represents much more than \$5,000,000. How much more ? What did they take before they emitted the \$30,000,000? What arrangements were made for the disposition of the \$25,000,000 of stock, the only originally authorized capital? At what rate was stock taken, if stock in fact was taken, for the purch. e of some part of the Canada Central Railway? All these are questions which are of deep interest to us, because we want to know what the Company has really obtained in cash for its stock, which is the measure of our liability, at least of our limitation as to the reduction of tolls. And we want to know it further, because it bears upon the considerations which are addressed to us in inviting us to sanction this loan to the Company. I have seen some organs of public opinion so ill-informed as to declare with respect to this proposition that the necessity of doing something for the Company was made manifest because its stock was actually selling at fifty-five, only fiftyfive cents for a dollar, and when the stock had fallen so low it was quite clear that we never could allow the poor fellows to issue any more at such a rate as that. But as I have said, those organs of public opinion were ill informed; they were deceived by the character of the operation. The Company emitted a nominal amount of stock far beyond what was required at a discount. They preferred to call the capital \$100,-000,000 instead of 50,000,000—it sounded better. They felt better to know that they had \$100,000,000 instead of 59,000,000, and it gave them certain collateral auvantages in issuing a large dividend or interest during construction a d gave greater opportuuities for a rise, because it was easier to

on. Minister of and he said he the kind and edence in the rn for the first k has realized, zéd only \$25,me that none been issued at ier part, the et to the Come not to know has increased They were to ginal capital. But what stock of the esents much much more? emitted the ments were \$25,000,000 authorized ck taken, if purchase of Railway? are of deep at to know obtained in measure of ation as to e want to upon the d to us in an to the organs of to declare that the the Comits stock ly fifty. the stock that we to issue But as I opinion ed by the ompany ock far iscount \$100.ounded at they 00,000. auvannterest

oppor-

sier to

it was issued at par to secure prices considerably above pec. I have shown the House that the stock of the Compony has been emitted at an average price net to the Company of forty-six, and upon this they have agreed to pay interest at \$5 on the \$100. which means a rate of almost eleven per cent. per annum, payable half yearly. These poor people whom you are asked to assist -these energetic people who want to push this railway on for you so fast -took suffi cient care of themselves to arrange at an early day that on these sums which they had put into the enterprise they shoul | get about eleven per cent. while construction was going on; and stock is to be sold and subsidies are to be obtained and advances are to be gathered in, and land grant bonds are to be realized, in order that, during construction, eleven per cent. interest may be paid to the Company. Presently they decided that they would finish construction very quickly, and then they decided they would have a guarantee as to interest. And they announced an arrangement whereby, even after construction, for a long period of years, the bulk of this dividend is to be actually gua anteed. It seems to me that when you find a nominal stock proposed to be emitted of \$100,000,000, when Parlia ment supposed \$25,000,000 would be ext avagant; when you find th t a part of that stock has been emitted at an average price of forty-six only; when you find arrangements made for the payment of a dividend upon that average price of about eleven per cent.; when you find the Company asking, in calculating the sum of this expenditure, that you should give it credit for making that provision for eleven per cent. upon its investment, it must be evident that these things require to be enquired into; that they require to be sifted and analyzed, and that we ought to get at the bottom of them. If we are to do that which the hon. gentleman not inaptly described in the instructions he gave to his engineer and the Commissioner of Inland Revenue-if we are going to enter into what h , put into the alternative of either a large transaction of mortgage or a partnership with a firm; if we are to go into partnership with a railway company, we ought to see how it has handled its business, what it has done with its stock, how it has been distributed; we ought to discuss this question of dividend and ascertain its result. I shall show pres- end and the Maritime Provinces at the

get stock up from fifty towards par than if ently that 'our position is not quite so advantageous as that of a partnership. We may be exposed to the risk, but it is not likely we shall have any of the profits. Then we objected to the monopoly, and I think events have vindicated that objection. It has been shown to be a very serious obstruction to the settlement of the North-West. It has been shown to be a very evil thing in the present and for the future of that country. We objected very strongly to what we believed was a wholly un iuthorized and unconstitutional extension, in practice, of the monopoly which the hon. gentleman inaugurated-I use the phrase advisedly-when he advised the disallowance of certain railway charters upon the principles upon which he did so The hon. gentleman is very fond, when he can, of sheltering himself under the ægis of those whose past, as a general rule, he busies himself in reproaching and reviling and despising. But upon this occasion, he is entirely wrong in saying that the policy of the preceding Government furnishes an authority for his policy. There are two reasons against it. The first is, that what was done at that time-though it was not disallowance, but even supposing it equivalent to a disallowance -- was done at a period when neither the route of the Canadian Pacific Railway nor the system of construction of the Canadian Pacific Railway -whether it should be by a Government or a Company-nor the terms of construction were settled; and I maintain, as I have maintained before, it is an entirely different thing to say you will control the railways of a country, through which you have a Government railway worked by the Government, and to say you will control them when you have handed that railway over to a private corporation. As long as it is a Government railway it is the people's railway, and the people cannot have a monopoly in its own concern-not an offensive or objectionable monopoly-because the interest to be served is that of the community that possesses the railway. Does any one suppose that rates too high for the good of a country could be charged by a Government having a railway? Why, look at this Dominion. Take the two ends of it, cutting off for the moment British Columbia -and I hope no hon. gentleman will suppose I mean permanently, but just for an instant-take the North-West at the one

other; take the Government railway, ex- deftly than he did he hopes to gild the gentleman did was, as far as they could, in the contract, to render it impossible that access should be obtained, and what they could not do in the contract they did by of the law, in disallowing all other railway charters in Manitoba. The contract itself says that in the North-West Territories, in those parts in which the Dominian of Canada has jurisdiction, no railways running in such a direction and within such limits shall be chartered for the next twenty years, and it says that in any new Province hereafter to be erected in the North-West, this prohibition shall be continued, obviously meaning that in the existing Provinces, no such prohibition could be made or was intended, obviously indicating that if such a provision had not been in the contract, the instant a new Province was formed it could exercise the constitutional right of chartering rail ways free of control. To prevent that, the contract contained the it does—to run a railway; that, in a connextraordinary provision that the constitu tion of a new Province, as yet unborn, sarily costs more; that, in a country the should be hampered and restricted by a provision prohibiting it from exercising its necessarily costs still more; and that, thereconstitutional right. In the face of that and fore, the rates should be high in order that the in the face of the statement made by the railway might be remunerative. But these verted to, that we cannot check Ontario and Manitoba, he has been checking Manitoba ever since. Now, he tells us he is glad he is able to info m us to-night—I suppose he hopes to gild the pill for the North-West, as in another way he attemped to gild the pill for numerous persons to the eastward; aid that was to be given to the owners of badly; I think I saw the pill show itself in price with that which was to be done, "Oh, several places, and I am surprised that the but you don't take into account the circumhon, gentleman, with all his old experience, stance that the Company will have to run was not able to accomplish the task more the road at a loss for a great many years;

tending from Quebec to the Maritime Pro- pill by saying that, as soon as the railway vinces and the private corporation's road is actually built, the Government will be extending through the North-West, and ask able to review the question of reconsidering apon what system the services are regulated the policy, and they really think they will respectively, by what rules the rates are be able to stop oppressing Manitoba and to the communities respectively through two or three years hence. Why? He is which those railways pass, and tell us, if kind enough to tell us why. Because the violating the constitution at some period you can, without a smile, that you will put Company think they will be able to do it both in the same category. Again, such a safely. They think by that time, what with policy was a revocable policy. It might be their powers, and their privileges, and their modified and changed. The same public exemption from taxation, and the claws opinion which would control the rates they will have stretched over the whole of would decide, at the moment, when more that country, they will have it so entirely access should be obtained. What the hon. in their grip that it is really of no consequence what power you give the poor people. "Live horse and you will get grass;" violating the spirit and executing the letter of hay before him. As soon as the people but the hon. gentleman proposes that the horse shall die, and then he will put a feed of Manitoba are completely under control, he will grant these concessions, and he calls on them to fall down in thankfulness for the concessions he proposes to make. Now, Sir, we contended that the monopoly was a grievous one, inasmuch as it was calculated to produce high rates and to produce the usual results which are produced by monopolies; and that it was important, in the early settlement of the country, that power, free power, should be given to construct railways. Since that time, argument has been addressed to us to show that the rates were extremely moderate; that they were very reasonable; that they were all that could be expected; that in a new country like this, it costs a great deal -as no doubt climate of which is somewhat severe, it arguments are all lacking in this, that those who use them forget that it was one element of this contract that the road would b. run at unremunerative rates for a long time after it was opened, and that, in consideration of that circumstance, large We were told, when we contrasted the

we's

men

in a

ning for

argu

rem

to b

the No

men

No

if th

Nor pric

adv

and

has

una this

duc

to c

ned

of i

as :

the

Sam

bee

ted:

tim

tion

Tak

Ste

in t

ann

bui

tha

stri gre

ann

bra

ann tole fille

and

Wε

rece

the

sen

the

Sav

pla

mi

far had

men, we should, and we are paying them pes to gild the in advance for that unremunerated runas the railway ning.4 Well, having paid them in advance nment will be for that unremunerated running, it is now of reconsidering argued that the rates should be such as to hink they will remunerate them, and therefore they are Manitoba and to be paid double, first in advance under why? He is the contract, and then by the people of the North-West-or, according to the state-Because the ments which are made, the people of the able to do it North-Westare to pay in both cases; because, ie, what with if those statements are to be credited, the es, and their North-West is to pay the whole contract d the claws price out of its lands so that it pays, first in he whole of advance under the contract for cheap rates, and then pays dear rates after the contract so entirely has been accomplished. Now, I have been f no consethe poor unable to observe that the speed with which this enterprise has been conducted has conget grass;" duced to its success. I have been unable s that the to observe that those who have been concerput a feed ned in it have had such miraculous powers he people r control, of invuition and of judgment and decision d he calls as to reach conclusions more rapidly than they need have been reached, and at the lness for same time rightly. Hasty decisions have ce. Now. been taken, different plans have been adoply was a ted; and these have indicated, from time to ilculated time, the absence of that careful consideraluce the tion, that prudence of action, which was y monessential to make this enterprise a success. in the Take for example what was called the Sault power. Ste, Marie Branch. At a very early period nstruot in the history of the undertaking, it was nt has announced that the Company was about to e rates build a branch to the Sault Ste. Marie. At were that time other companies were thinking of that striking for the Sault Ste, Marie, but the untry great Canadian Pacific Railway Company loubt announced that it was going to build a coun. branch to the Sault Ste. Marie. It was ecesannounced in public, and the hon. Minister the told me, "Your views are about to be ful-, i filled; you said the Sault ought to be reached, ereand they are going to reach the Sault.' the Well, a little while later, the hon. gentleman 686 received a communication to the effect that Ditto they had altered their views. They had lesent in their plans in which they called this ld the Sault Ste. Marie Branch. They had now decided to make the main line by the Sault Ste. Marie; and they asked that their plan, which was called the plan of a branch

might be made the plan of the main line so

far; and they announced that their engineers

we've taken it into account as, as honest although more expensive, for other reasons much better than the former veute; and the hon. Minister acceded, with the reservation advised by his engineer that, until they had established on the ground the possibility of connecting Port Arthur with the Sault or the neighborhood of the Sault, the subsidy should be retained. Well, they were going on, and, at that same demonstration at which the hon. the First Minister made the observations to which I have alluded, he spoke at length upon this subject, and he told what a great thing it was for the country, what a great thing it was for Ontario in particular, that it was now arranged, and that the change of route was about to reduce the period of construction on the north shore of Lake Superior by many years. Not long after, the whole collapsed. There is no letter brought down-I know not whether any such exists-explaining it, but, without any explanation given to Parliament or the public, the plan is altered, and they determine to carry the main line through the interior, they go back to the old route; and then they determine to build a branch to Algoma Mills; and that is the plan upon which they have been working since; thus showing no less than three changes of plan, and indicating, as I have said, that this extreme haste of execution is very apt to lead to hasty, and very possibly, to imprudent and erroneous decisions. And we were told that it was of the last consequence that the Algoma Mills Branch and the connecting link to the eastward of it should be early built. We were told that it was going to be a great thing for us this coming year, that the immigrants should go by this short and speedy route to Port Arthur. We were told we should see the Canadian Pacific Railway carrying the immigrants by that route, a splendid route. so many miles from Montreal to Algoma Mills, than the twenty.four hours' voyage from Algoma Mills to Port Arthur, and so But the newspapers tell us-and I believe in this case they tell the truththat that is not to be the route at all; that the steamers are to sail from the port of Owen Sound for Port Arthur, that the business is to be done from the port of Owen Sound and not from Algoma Mills this year; that the immigrants are to be carried by the Ontario and Quebec and the Toronto. Grey and Bruce to Owen Sound, and that the had gone over the road and found a prac- voyage is to be from that port to Port ticable route, and it was a much better thing, Arthur, and not by the other route at all.

So it appears that for the practical purposes | indicated the probility of such an extension. our own territory for immigrants, there was Algoma Mills If the branch to A goma Mills is not to be the immigrant route to the North-West, what was the object of hurrying that branch and of expending the close upon \$2,000,000 which have been expended in its speedy construction? If you find that the bulk of your immigration next year, that the bulk of your transport of passengers and goods next year goes, not by Algoma Mills at all, but by Owen Sound, will you not find that once again speed, rapidity of decision, has resulted in premature expenditure, in expenditure which having regard to the other obligations of the company, and to the difficulties which it was possible would surround them, and which it turns out have surrounded them, had better have been deferred? Then Sr, the decision with reference to the southern route, to which, I have adverted, was I greatly fear a mistaken decision on another ground. I have never said anything upon this subject in pullic before, but I have collected such information as I could ask of everybody whom I met, who had passed over the western part of the railway, and I am obliged to say that the opinion unanimously entertained-I never was able to elicit any contrary opinion from any gentleman I approached was that the appearance of the country along that southern route in the western part, west of Moose Jaw to the foot, hills of the Rocky Mountains, was far inferior to the appearance in other parts (far inferior to the territory that would have been passed through by the central rcute) and considerable appre ensions were entertained and expressed by every one to whom I spoke, as to the results to the Company of the inferiority of that land in quality and the difficulty with reference to want of water and to the dryness of the climate. Now, with reference to those eastern operations, which the honorable gentleman has not particularly alluded to-at least, to most of which he has not particularly alluded—I think they fall into different categories. There can be no doubt that there was a great amount of reasonableness in the view that the Canadian Pacific Railway should seek to extend its direct control as far east as the city of Montreal. There can also be

It refers to that extension particularly. It no necessity for that great expedition lect aright, it deals with the other railways, deals with the Canada Central. If I recolbut at any rate it indicat d pretty plainly an intention, if possible, to get to Montreal. However, it is one thing to say that that intention was a sound one, and it is another thing to say that the means adopted, the bargains made, the arrangements entered into were such as were prudent and wise. I have not been able to ascertain, from the information which has been laid before us, with an approach to absolute accuracy, what the cost of the railways which the Canadian Pacific Railway Company has acquired in the east is. I have made a conjecture, to which I will refer later on ; but, I say, that it is obvious that the purchase included numerous branches not very material at all to the main line, and that upon the main line expenditure and the main line mileage between Callander and Montreal, the cost of these railways, treating them as acquired for the purposes of the main line communication, will be an extremely heavy cost. The hon, gentieman has not on this occasion alluded to one of those purchases, which is generally treated as absorbed in the great purchase, I refer to that of the Laurentian Railway, a separate railway bought about the sametime, and as I showed last Session, from such information as I could obtain, purchased from Mr. Senecal at a price which realized to him an enormous profit, and which was far in excess of the mercantile value of the railway. I do not believe it has been any value to the Canadian Pacific Railway at all; and whatever value it may have to anybody, the price which I believe was paid was a price far in excess of the value of the concern. I pointed out the details of that transaction last Session. maintain, when we are called upon to decide that this Company has so well and wisely expended its funds, that we have a right to lend it \$30,000,000 more, that that transaction ought to be explained. I maintain that we ought to have information upon it. I maintain that we ought to have information upon it. I maintain we ought to be told why it was that this link of railway was purchased, and at what price and under what circumstances. I believe it to have involved the throwing away by the Canadian Pacific Railway Company of something no doubt that the contract itself, in terms, Now, Sir, so much for the moment with

f such an extension. on particularly. It entral. If I recolthe other railways, t d pretty plainly to get to Montreal. to say that that e, and it is another eans adopted, the ngements entered rudent and wise. scertain, from the n laid before us, ite accuracy, what nich the Canadian has acquired in a conjecture, to ; but, I say, that rchase included y material at all upon the main in line mileage ntreal, the cost em as acquired line communiy heavy cost. on this occasion hases, which is in the great he Laurentian bought about l last Session. could obtain, a price which s profit, and e mercantile ot believe it adian Pacific value it may ch I believe cess of the ed out the Session. on to decide and wisely e a right to at transacmaintain n upon it. e informaight to be f railway and under to have Canadian omething njecture

ont with

reference to that which is ordinarily put Railway Company to result in a temporary together under the he Montreal. But with roads, not much info is true that, with to funds of the Canad . Pacific Railway for some time; I believe the Gntario and Company have not been directly expended Quebec system will be forced to run at rates, in the Credit Valley enterprise, so far as is and under circumstances, which will render shown. It now appears that \$484,000 of it extremely difficult for the Canadian the Company's money has been spent in Pacific Railway Company, out of its earn-Credit Valley bonds, which are at present ings, to pay five per cent, upon the comheld by the Government as security for \$1,000,000 of money. It is made plainly to appear, that there is \$484,000 of its money spent in the purchase of these bonds. But, Sir, upon an occasion of this description, whon we are called upon to consider what the obligations of that Company are, to which we are to lend money, I maiatain that the hon. Minister has not discharged his full duty when he has failed to tell us how the Company stands in relation to the Credit Valley, the Ontario and Quebec, and that whole system. So far as the general sources of information and the Act of Parliament enable us to judge, the Canadian Pacific Railway Company is becoming the lessee of those lines on terms which oblige it to guarantee five per cent upon the bonds which are outstanding. The bonds which are outstanding were sold, in the case of the Credit Valley, at, I fancy, somewhere from thirty to thirty-five cents on the dollar, and they have been made worth par-if the Canadian Parific Railway guarantee will make them worth par-by the guarantee of the Canadian Pacific Railway. Now, the Canadian Pacific Railway has agreed, in effect, that those roads shall earn five per cent. on their whole cost; and legislation is before us this Session (as well as I could understand the Clerk at the Table, who was reading the petition) for power for this Company to extend its railway through the western peninsula of Ontario, to the border, and other proceedings are being taken to enlarge the bounding system of the Crecit Valley Railway. I do not know-we have no information at all before us—as to what the real extent of the obligations of the Canadian Pacific Railway Company are, with reference to this enterprise. Those obligations may be light or they may be heavy-it depends upon the results of the traffic of these roads when they are comthrough Ontario, of the Canadian Pacific Railway Company, itself, would have no

g of Callander to advantage, at any rate, to a considerable ference to other portion of the community. I believe there ien is given. It will be a very severe competition there ; I g exceptions, the believe there will be very low rates of fare plete cost of that system. If so the general a sets and capital of the Company will be responsible for the deficiency. It is, I presume responsible for the deficiency today, in the case of the Credit Valley Company, be that great or small. Then there is an arrangement made wi h Toronto, Grey and Bruce Railway under which that railway Company, is leased by the Canadian Nothing has been said about Railway. that; we have not heard what the extent of this obligation of the Canadian Pacific Railway is; we know noe what the traffic account of the Toronto, Grey and Bruce Railway is; we know not whether the probable traffic of that road will pay the expense of it. If it does not, that, of course, becomes an onerous obligation to the extent of the deficiency. Then there is the Atlantic and North-Western Railway, in which the hon. gentleman said a sum-I have forgotten exactly what it was, something under two hundred thousand dollars—had been expended by the Canadian Pacific Railway Company in acquiring the charter, and sof orth.

Sir CHARLES TUPPER. And building a road.

Mr. BLAKE. How much was built? Sir CHARLES TUPPER. Round the mountain at Montreal.

Mr. BLAKE. I don't know how many miles were built. It would be interesting to know how much was paid for the charter. We pass so many charters, Mr. Speaker. Our hands are so full of them. So many hon, gentlemen are engaged busily during the Session in passing railway charters. We pass them with so much freedom. It is so very little difficult to pass a railway charter, unless it be in Manitoba, that what the worth of a commodity of that kind is, . after it has received the valuable imprint of this Houseand the Senate, and the assent pleted. But I need hardly say that we may of the Executive, it would be interesting fully expect the completion of the operations to know. I suppose the Canadian Pacific

difficulty in getting such a charter as that when he dilated on Halifax, how the jaw himself treading on somewhat tender ground, because he said that it was very important for the Canadian Pacific to acquire an interest in the South-Eastern. From his run almost everywhere. It seems to furnish the most direct means of connection with Boston, Portland, New York, Halifax, St. John, St. Andrews and Louisburg—I do not know whether I have got them all; but it have to build a railway to get to Quebec. The hon. gentleman says they will build and from the capacities it possesses, I am not surprised that the Canadian Pacific cheap. The hon. gentleman said it was important that we should have the Canadian Pacific Railway run to a winter port in Canada. He said, also, that the Company were of the same opinion. In point of fact I do not know but that they have been expressing their opinion on that point to some members of this House very recently. In order to do it, it was necessary they should secure the Montreal, Portland and Boston Railway. It was probable with the same admirable intentions they were engaged a little while ago, in the City of Portland, negotiating with the municipal authorities of that city, for the purpose of acquiring the Portland and Ogdensburg Rail ..., which also, I have no doubt, possesses the same admirable faculty of being capable of being used to give connection with St. Andrews and the other Maritime Province The hon, gentleman explained, with that lucidity and clearness which distinguished his utterances to night, and which it is part of the policy of the Government particularly distinguish his Parliamentary and of Parliament that the resources which utterances upon this subject, how they were to this Company possesses, by virtue of this

of the Atlantic and North-Western. But of the Finance Minister fell, as if he were some one else got it, How much did the saying to himself: "This will never do, he Canadian Pacific Railway Company get it is making an awful mess of it; he is making for, and for what purpose? Doubtless, in too much of Halifax. The idea of setting order to secure connection with the South Halifax up! He should have put all the ports on an equality. He should have mentioned them all several times, so that each one might be mentioned first." The hon, gentlemen having gilded the pill for description of the road, it appeared to me to having told the people of Quebec that they St Andrews, Halifax and St John, and were to have a third railway-I wonder on which bank of the St Lawrence, or whether in the middle of it—to reach their port as a summer port—having satisfied the different seems that the Montreal, Portland and that the Canadian Pacific Railway would or one of the things needful, to get to these stopped there. It ought to have gone have failed in its duty to Canada if it had further, and he there pointed out that admirable faculty which the South Eastern one immediately. I hope he will give his reach these ports, but the United States possesses, namely, that it would not only Eastern was of an extraordinary character there in order to be in a position to take ports as well. He said they ought to reach gave \$1,600,000 or \$1,700,000 for a conland, to take the commerce from the port the commerce from the port of New York, trolling interest in it. It is a valuable of Boston, and cause it to pass over their great railway, and that they would be wanting in their duty to Canada if they did not provide the facilities. there was once a man who was asked if he would contribute towards a bonus to a road to run out of his town, and he said, "Never; I will contribute towards as many bonuses as you like for reads to run into the town, but out of it-never." Does the hon, gentleman suppose that if traffic comes from New York, Portland and Boston towards the west, over the Canadian Pacific Railway, the traffic will not go eastward to New York, Portland and Boston? Does he suppose his railway will run only one way, like the man with the bonus? It may be right-I do not say it is not right -but it would be trifling with the intelligence of this House to suppose this is other than a serious question with reference to the terminal point of the Canadian Pacific Railway, and we may as well face it. Wareach these ports, except St. John, which he contract, are to be applied while yet we left out, or a most left out; and I observed, are to be engaged in pouring out of the

public treasury \$80,000,000 more - to world that these two subordinates of the Now, Sir, this was not all. With reference to the expenditures, it turned out that the sum of \$700,000 had been expended in what the hon, gentleman calls—I believe it is the technical word of the market-"sustaining" the stock of the Canada North-West Land Company; and there are divers other large items mentioned in the hon. gentleman's statement but not explained. But the hon. gentleman said: I felt it my duty, in dealing with this matter, to pro-cure thorough, sound, good information. He felt it his duty to ascertain, to the bottom, how things stood, and so he employed his engineer, and the Commissioner of Inland Revenue to go to Montreal and make an investigation into the accounts of the Company, such as would be suitable in case somebody else was going to advance them a large sum of money upon their property, or to become a partner in their business. That order was issued on the 28th of January, and the report was made, if I understood the hon. gentleman aright, upon the 2nd of February. What were they sent down for ? To inform the hon. gentleman's mind? To enable him to understand what they were doing? To enable him to get that information which was necessary for him and his colleagues to arrive at a Oh, no! Because they had decision ? already reached a decision? They reached a decision before the report came. announcement was made; we had their policy declared to us, and therefore it was not for that purpose. But I suspect because it was thought that it was that we would accept this statement, and the hon, gentleman's officers and the officer of the Minister of Inland Revenue, were sent down to make a report with reference to the transaction under this state of things. The Ministers under whom they serve, concluded that they would enter into the partnership, that they would make the advance, and Parliament should have, they agreed such information as would enable it to agree with the Ministers. The officers come down and they make enquiry, and it is expected Parliament will agree. They make the enquiry in two, or three, or four days into these transactions, involving so many millions of money: they make it in difficulties were disappearing; that traffic reference to a decision already arrived at. prospects were becoming brighter. Every-

the jaw he were

r do, he

making

setting

all the

d bave

that

ill for

1, and

t they

er on

ether

t as a

erent

O SOY

ould

had

gone

that

ern

nly

tes

ach

ke

rk.

rt-

rt

ir

d

The

creating an Atlantic terminus in the United hon. gentleman did not find that the States for the Canadian Pacific Railway. [accounts were all wrong, and that the transaction was one that he would not accept? I am amazed! It was so probable that they should have found differently from what the hon gentleman had decided; it was so probable they should have differed from the Cabinet with reference to the proposition they were about to submit to Parliament, that it is really extraordinary we should have from them the report we have heard from the hon, gentleman to-night. Now, Sir, in that report they tell us that they did not enquire into the distribution of the stock; they tell us that they did not investigate the expenditure on the line; and if we are lending money upon the faith of a wise dealing with the stock, and upon the faith of wise expenditures upon the line, they did not investigate things that were extremely material. I have no doubt that these accounts are not falsified; I have no doubt that these accounts are kept as these gentlemen said they were kept; I have no doubt that there has been no falsification or fraud in the keeping of the accounts of the Canadian Pacific Railway Company. But the question is, what their transactions have been? The question is, whether they have been prudent, whether they have been wise, what the nature of them has been. These were the questions which were to be entered into -these were the questions which were to have been investigated. But the investigators tell us: We did not enquire into the distribution of the stock; we did not enquire into the expenditures of the Company. Then, Sir, everything went well as long as the money held out. As I have said, the Company was lauded by everybody holding views consonant with those of Ministers, as possessed of all those capacities and faculties which Ministers credited them with. They could make no mistake, they did make no mistake; they were building faster than any one had ever built before; they were building better than any one had ever built before; they were building cheaper than any one had ever built before. The road was improving all the time in its prospects; the work was becoming easier; and it was found from day to day and from year to year that the Is it not the most surprising thing in the thing pointed more and more to the extreme

excellence of the enterprise. With this portion of your funds in certain investments joyful news we were regaled in season and arrived that the country was startled, in the month of October last, by the statement that the Government had agreed to guarantee the stock of the Canadian Pacific Railway Company to the extent of three tract, of this magnificent enterprise, had been dealing just as you would expect them to deal; they had been acting with reference to it just as you would expect them to act; and now suddenly, without a moment's notice, we are told that they are to get a Government guarantee. The tirst announcement was on the 27th of October, and it was that the Government had guaranteed the interest upon \$100,000,000, and that had received \$15,000,000 cash, and \$5,000,000 was to he received on the 1st of February last, and \$4,500,000 in undoubted security. That was announcement when the details were given, but in the first instance, there was a simple announcement that the Government had made the guarantee as stated. Now, Sir, I have never been able to understand, and the hon. gentleman has not explained to-day, how it could be that if the Company gave cash, or the equivalent of cash, to an amount representing the present value of that guarantee, they would be any the better for the operation. It is not in the nature of things that they could be. It is impossible that they could be improved by simply taking a certain portion of their available assets and locking them up at a fair price to represent dividends for ten years. The hon gentleman tells us that the greatest financiers of Canada, of New York, of London, all agree that this operation would have the effect of increasing the value of the shares of the Canada Pacific Railway Company. Well, all I can say is, that I should like some explanation as to how it is possible, on the assumption I have named, that tangible assets of the Company, fairly representing the worth of the guarantee, and which were handed over for the guarantee, could increase the value of the shares. He wight as well tell me that by taking your money out of your own pocket and putting it into your hands to keep, you were increasing your wealth. He might as well tell me that by locking up a Canadian Pacific Railway stock.

out of season, until the time arrived that off. It is quite true that if that had taken producing only four per cent. you are better place, which was for some time thought to have taken place; if the Government had given that gratuitous guarantee, if the Government had engaged the credit of the country for cash or its equivalent, that per cent. for the period of ten years. In the holders of the stock might have realized might have been an advantage; the then more for it, because persons might buy more readily when they understood that the Dominion of Canada was good, at any rate, for three per cent. upon the nominal value of the stock for ten years; and that would cost the Company nothing. was the assumption, it was speedily to be But, if that disturbed. That was, apparently, the assumption, for the stock rose. land a cable was received by Morton, In Eng-Rose & Co, the agents for the Company, announcing that the Government had guaranteed three per cent. on the \$100,000,000 for ten years, and announcing also that the earnings of the Company were left free to supplement this dividend with interest at three per cent. That cable was, no doubt, unintentionally, very unfortunately worded, because the impression that it would convey -that it conveyed to me, and that it conveyed to others-was, first of all, that the guarantee was gratuitous-not that it was simply a deposit by the Company with the Government, of assets which the Government was going to hold, but that it was a gratuitous guarantee. I certainly did suppose when I read that cable, which declares that the earnings of the Company were left free for ten years to supplement the dividend, that no part of the earnings of the Company was charged to pay this three per cent.; but I find, when the papers come down, that the postal and the transport services, two elements of the Company's revenue, are expressly charged to pay the dividend, although I am unable to reconcile with my reading of the agreement, the statement made upon the London market, and I presume upon the New York market, that this arrangement was such as left the earnings free. However, upon this understanding, the stock rose, and it rose to somewhere about sixty-four in New York and London. There was a considerable amount of speculation, and I believe that the market was what they call milked, and that a good many people lost a good deal of money in

B WE ad n etter, fter vant he gu 900 c vant they s mone Gover prised perha an ex clined was r tion? closur but m the ar a cert more w-re were : planat the ch to the had o must itself with t the la carrie out in tion t reasor ment person tain secrec dabbli any,o compl in all whole know have Gover Comp and ju upon

Minis

un sor

water

told t declar a full

rofit

n investments rofited has not yet been disclosed. ou are better at had taken e thought to etter, written about eight days, I think, ernment had fter the first proposal, that they did not ntee, if the vant this arrangement. They proposed that credit of the he guarantee should extend to this \$5,000. valent, that 900 only, because they said they did not e; the then vant to sell the \$35,000,000 at once, and ave realized they said also, it would cost them too much ht buy móre money. Is the House surprised, are the d that the Government surprised; is the country surat any rate, prised that some financiers, although not ninal value perhaps so wise as those who thought it was that would an excellent arrangement, should have deut, if that clined to believe that the value of the stock edily to be was raised by a transaction of this descripently, the In Engtion? The stock shortly fell, and full disclosures were made—no not full disclosures, Morton, but more disclosures as to the character of Company, the arrangement. It was discovered that had guara certain amount of cash was pait, that 0,000,000more cash was to be paid, and that securities o that the w re given for the rest. The securities eft free to were not disclosed. According to the exnterest at planations of the hon, gentleman himself, 10 doubt. the check and fall in the stock is attributed worded, to the mystery and want of openness which d convey had characterized the transaction; and I t it conmust say that so far as regards the Company that the itself and the Government in connection t it was with this transaction, it was unfortunate in with the the last degree that it should have been Governcarried out as it was carried out-carried t was a out in a manner which enabled the imputadid suption to be made with too much apparent declares reason, that negotiations with the Governere left ment had been made use of to profit private he divipersons who were aware of them. I mains of the tain there should have been absolute ree per secrecy as to this arrangement, and no s come dabbling in the stock of the Company by nsport pany's ay the in all its particulars; to the public. concile stateand I , that earnstandwhere ndon. pecu-Was good

y in

Who

In a meentime they should be silent. And ew short days it was found the Company silent they were. But the First Minister ad made a mistake. They said in their did not speak; he does not speak now. The transaction affected the Government otherwise. What a chorus of laudations were heard. It was described as a magnificent transaction, one in which the Government had achieved a financial success; an operation of a character capable only of being achieved by the present Finance Minister. Why, the failure of the domestic loan turned out to be a blessing in disguise, because the hon. Finance Minister did not want money, for the Syndi ate would provide him with it. What did he want with \$4,000,000 when \$24,500,000 were to be provided by the Syndicate of the Canadian Pacific Railway? What a lucky thing it was that he had not taken up a loan? He would not have known what to do with the money because of those \$24,500,000 he was going to get from the Syndicate. Not merely was the money to be supplied which was intended to be taken from the pockets of his fellow-countrymen by making a loan, but the loans which were about to expire in eleven months, were also to be largely met. The situation was easier. The hon. gentleman would have to go to England, it is true, but only for a fraction of the sum for which he would have otherwise had to go, because the Canadian Pacific Railway Company, adding one more to the immense blessings which it had a'ready conferred upon the country, was pouring out of its abundance into our coffers those sums, to redeem our indebtedness. Did those who described this action as admirably beneficial to the Government and the country, ever . consider that if it was so good for them, it could not really be so very good for the Canadian Pacific Railway Company too; any one acquainted with it, and that when that it really could not advantage the completed it should have been made known, Government so enormously and be also The a great good to the other party to the whole arrangement should have been made bargain. A mere money transaction of known. I say, so that the public could this description cannot possess those conhave become aware exactly what the flicting characters. How changed the sit-Government were giving, and what the uation now is. In Novemberlast, we were Company were giving to the Government, congratulating ourselves that we had \$24, and judge for themselves what the effect 500,00 of solid cash ready to be furnished upon the stock would be. But the First by the Company to redeem our debts, and Minister, as the Montreal Witness informed to night we are discussing a proposition that us some time ago, is said by Mr Drink- we shall advance \$22,500,000 to the Canawater, Secretary of the Company, to have dian Pacific Railway Company. If that is told the Company not to speak, and to have not a turn-about-face, from November to declared that he himself intended to make February, I do not know what a turn abouta full explanation in a few days, and in the face is. It was a splendid thing for the

Company and for the country that the that it was absolutely required by sor former should furnish \$24,500,000; it is now a splendid thing for the Company should loan them \$22,500,000. hon. gentleman stated that this transaction was almost warranted by the law. have a new idea respecting the sanctity of Acts of Parliament, and the power of the Executive in these later days. It was only the other day that a solemn Act of Parliament passed by hon gentlemen opposite, and which provided certain things imperatively, was dispensed with by those hon, gentlemen, and when I asked under the authority of what law I was told it was done under the law of necessity. We know that necessity knows no law, and as the hon. gentleman represented necessity, I do him no injustice in saying that he knew no law on that occasion. There was actually no Order in Council passed but a Departmental Order was issued, informing Collectors of Customs throughout the country that they were required to disobey the law. In that view I am almost surprised to learn that the hon. Minister of Railways admits that they have a little transcended the power of the Government in making this arrangement. I am surprised he did not say that they were fully clothed with them, in case they require cash to pay our cash and issue terminableannuities in return therefor; and having that, is it not a most within the law that they should issue the securities without getting the cash? It is true that inconvenience would arise; because the object for which the transaction was carried out was to obtain cash, and if the eash WAR not obtained, the debts could not be paid. cended their powers. I say it was an ex- the stockholders for ten years, and to de ceedingly grave act; that it was an act that to a large extent on credit, after havto be done only under a sense of the gravest ing received the cash which was the price some emergency which would be of itself version of the order of things, as it existed. a justification for the breach of the law; that it was an act which is calculated, if Railway contract was passed, that we were slurred over as this act is slurred over, to obtaining from the Company security that *hrow douls upon all the securities of the they would complete the line; but now it Canadian Government; an act as to which seems it is proposed to us that we should

pressing emergency, and that it would have met the emergene and for the country that the latter But, Sir, they were not satisfied that was a pressing emergency, nor did it me the emergency after all; for the hon. gentle man tells us that the result of his gree coup was not merely a failure, but positive disaster; that it was disastrous to the Conpany that the transaction should have been entered into, because they did not improve the price of their stock by a dollar, an they did pay into the hands of the Govern ment the \$8,700,000. And they com down to Parliament and in the Speed from the Throne they tell us that the made this arrangement in October. The do not tell us that they transcended the law under the pressure of necessity and the they are about to ask us for a Bill of indem nity for that transgression of the law. The do not propose any bill of indemnity, bu they say, we will treat this as an ordinar transaction and we will ask you to make it a little better for the Company. will go into the committee, treat the agree! ment as a valid agreement, and the Company not being able to implement the agreement itself, We you to agree to a postponement of the will authority for it. Why should be not have say that that is no constitutional, no proper mode of treating transactions of this kind and this Parliament will be wanting in its debts or meet our obligations, to borrow that duty to the people it assumes to represent if it does not insist on transactions of this enormous magnitude, created in defiance of the law, being at any rate dealt with, with such due regard to their gravity as to call for that formal and full sanctioning of them on that ground of necessity which can be their sole ground of justification. Government, however, were certainly perhon. Minister says that the Government, the Company in a pretty good position. suaded, and they put the shareholders of of the dividend. Here again is a little in-We were told when the Canadian Pacific the Ministers, before they entered into it, ought to have been satisfied, first of all, and in lieu of it give them security to pay

bsolutely required by sor ergency, and second have met the emergene were not satisfied that on transactions of this of the lands. de, created in defiance of full sanctioning of them necessity which can be of justification. The er, were certainly pernt the shareholders of pretty good position. ntee the dividends to ten years, and to de nt on credit, after havwhich was the price ere again is a little inof things, as it existed. the Canadian Pacific s passed, that we were Company security that the line; but now it to us that we should to complete the line, them security to pay

lividends. This is an arrangement is highly satisfactory, I have no when accomplished on credit, to the olders; but if it is not an alteration contract with the Company. I fail to emergency, nor did it me stand what an alteration is. Now, after all; for the hon, gentline present proposal is that we should that the result of his green tee to the extent of a sum which is that the result of his green the present proposal is that we should have been cause they did not improved to the hands of the Government and in the Speece of the they tell us that the green tin October. The corresponding to the they transcended the law they transcended the law they treat this as an ordinary wering tolls after this will be listened to the Company. You company would be. Ah! gentlemen, of many treat the agreet good. You are of course aware that agreet good. ommittee, treat the agreet good. You are of course aware that agreement, and the Commany would be. Ah! gentlement agreement, and the Commany would be. Ah! gentlement, of the agreed good. You are of course aware that agreement, and the Commany would be. Ah! gentlement, or aware good. You are of course aware that ave guaranteed interest on our stock good. You are of there per cent; you are a that you have lent us \$22,500,000 hich we agreed to pay interest, and the funds out which we can pay interest the profits of the enterprise. If you set to cut down the tolls, you undertakent will be wanting in its it assumes to represent the contransactions of the lands.

r. BLAKE. Of course there is the ny rate dealt with, with of the lands, but what has been said their gravity as to call the sale of the lands. The dividend be paid all the time, and the interest. will the Government be in a position, r these circumstances, to meet the sugon of the company; that the interest e country requires high tolls in order the obligations entered into may be emented without loss to the Company ason of the Company having a deficient que? Now, I do not intend to go into at many of the estimates which the There will be gentleman has made. e opportunity for fully discussing these es, which are not the same in some culars as those which are given in the pany's own statement. leman has introduced, and rightly in-

Company's statement, as for example, the element of cash received for town sites, and the element of the income received from the earnings of the road. There are other items which should also be added, amongst. them being the Winnipeg bonus of \$200,000. which was received in the last year. It is better that the statement should be made once for all, with a full appreciation of the bearing of the kon. gentleman's additions and changes on the statement of the Company themselves—that it should be made in the most perfect form to night and repeated afterwards. A few general observations, however, may be made with refer ence to these calculations. Last Session I pointed out to the House the estimate of the Company, according to the report of December, 1882, of what the road would cost, embracing the line from Montreal to Port Moody and the branches, exclusive of the Government sections. What the Company said then was that the road would be built for \$25,000,000 of subsidy, for land grant bonds to the amount of \$20,000,000, and for \$90,000,000 stock, which, at sixty, was equal to \$54,000,000 in cash; that is, for in all, \$99,000,000 of money. As late as April, 1883, the President of the Company published a letter in which he said that the cost to the shareholders of the 3,260 miles fully equipped, would be the \$54,000,000, which he assumed would be realized for the \$90,000,000 of stock against which they would have 17,000,000. of the finest wheat lands on the continent. This same estimate, practically repeating in April what had been stated in the December previous, was, that the whole line from Montreal to Callander and the presently contemplated branches would cost the Company \$99,000,000, of which the Company would have to provide \$54,000,000. Now, last Session I showed, analyzing that statement, that from this should be taken to get the cost of the main line contracted for, for the extensions and branches, about \$3,000,000. I was unable to speak with exact accuracy, but that was the nearest approximation I could make, and I am not yet persuaded that there was any material error in that approximation. If there was, it was against the figures as I now present them, that would leave the cost of the con-The hon. | tracted line \$91,000,000, according to the

imates of the Company in 1881. Now, uced, certain elements whin were in January, 1884, a fresh estimate is preted to be expressly set forth in the sented, and that estimate is really worth

the attentive consideration by Parliament, whole line, and therefore deduct some when it is called upon to rely upon this new estimate, as proving that funds are now being provided with which the road will be completed. This estimate embraces these figures: Mr. Stephen states that the total expenditure of the Company has been \$58,700,000; and that it will cost to complete, \$27,000, 100-and I add for equipment, \$2,800,000 to that estimate; making a total cost of \$88,500,000 to complete the whole railway.

SIT CHARLES TUPPER. It includes

equipment. Mr. BLAKE. I am very glad to hear Then the present estimate is that the total cost will be \$85,700,000. Now, that amount is \$18,300,000 less than the estimate of April last for the whole road; so impossible to understand them. that, if we assumed the estimate of \$85,-700,000 to be for the same subject-matter as the estimate of Decer ber, 1882, and April, 1888, we find a diminution in the cost of something like \$13,000,000. But that is not all, for this new estimate of \$85,700,000 embraces much more than the old estimate: It embraces the deposits for future dividends for years after the completion of the work. I leave, as supposed to be embraced in the old estimates, those dividends until the period estimated for completion-the two years remaining; but there are many years after completion for which the Company has already provided dividends out of this \$58,700,000. For that, \$5,000,000 is to be deducted. That sum embraces also that multitude of items-the seaboard extension items-to which the hon, gentleman referred, amounting to \$3,500,000; and it embraces also the Credit Valley bonds of, say \$500,000, making in all \$4,000,000 in round numbers—that is, \$9,000,000 altogether, of items which are embraced in the transactions contemplated by the last estimate, and not in the former ones. Deduct, therefore, \$9,000,000 more, and you get a sum of \$76,700,000 as the cost now estimated of the same work which was estimated at \$99,000,000 nine months ago. Now I want to apply these considerations to the estimate for the contracted line. I have shown what they were as applied to the whole line now contemplated. Mr. Stephen's figures with reference to the contracted line are these in round numbers: Work done on the main line, \$23,-080,000; proportion of equipment—for I assume that the equipment was for the loan is almost to make up the whole of

the brancher-\$6,000,000; materia \$4,000,000; to complete, \$27,000,000; add for interest and dividends, no less \$6,000,000; which, omitting the equip would give me \$66,000,000 as the proestimate for the contracted line. Company now think that the contri line from Callander to Port Moody will them \$66,000,000 as against \$99,000, their estimate of so late ago as April that is, a saving of \$24,000,000 on they had agreed and are bound to country to do, -including as I said, in estimate, the large sum of \$6,000,000 dividends out of capital, irrespective earnings altogether. New these de ancies are entirely confounding. The gentlemen has not attempted to gra with them. He has not taken up the mates which we were given last Sess and which we discussed and dealt and compared them with the estim which he now brings forward, and told how it is that \$24,000,000 less is requi finish the contracted line was required ten months ago. explanation is required, and quiry should be made on that p I am not satisfied with the statements in without a single particular given to us cept what is contained in a few lines w the hor, gentleman has read to-night. I then, if the contracted line is to cost \$66,000,000 the Company are to re-\$25,000,000 in cash; from land grant bo \$9,200,000—of which it has received \$9,000,000; bonuses and town sites, alr received, \$700,000—and they will prob receive further on that account, \$500 -making altogether \$35,400,000. they are to get from us now \$22,500, making \$58,900,000 from the public against a total expenditure of \$66,000, on the contracted line. And they have ready in their hands 10,000,000 acres, are to get, during the progress of the tract, other acres to the amount of 11,000,000 more—over 21,000,000 acres all. That is the proposition, Sir. contracted with them to build this railw They tell us now that this contracted rails the subject of the contract, is going to ta them only the sum which I have nam And we say that they have received for public resources over \$35,000,000 and

00

ou

of

00

It

to

th

It

60

pa ed

be

of

tre

WE

ga

ter

wi

alt

up

W

lig

18

WE

ex

pu

bu

CO

pr

Č0

a

ap

lir

ai

Ol.

to

qu

ra

pr

di

G

pr Ti

w

ite

co

\$1

cr

th

re

th

bo

86

 J_1

refore deduct som 000,000; materia lete, \$27,000,000; dividends, no less mitting the equip 000,000 as the pro contracted line. that the contra o Port Moody will against \$99,000 late ago as April \$24,000,000 on d are bound to iding as I said, in um of \$6,000,00 pital, irrespective New these de confounding. and them. The attempted . to gra not taken up the given last Ses ssed and dealt with the estim forward, and told 0,000 less is requi ntracted line onths ago. I t required, and nade on that p h the statements I cular given to us l in a few lines w s read to-night, N line is to cost apany are to red om land grant bo it has received nd town sites, alr nd they will prob t account, \$500 **\$**35,400,000. s now \$22,500. from the public ture of \$66,000. And they have 0,000,000 acres, progress of the he amount of o 11,000,000 acres position, Sir. o build this railw is contracted rails act, is going to te hich I have name have received from 35,000,000 and

up the whole of

rest and besides they are to get 20,000, debt which they cannot provide for, and 000 acres of land, and what they may make out of their stock, while what they got out of their original stock, their first \$25,000, 000, would be enough to complete the work. It is impossible under these circumstances, to contend against proposition that it is not the contracted line has caused the difficulty. It is impossible under these circumstances, to contend that if the energies of the Company had been limited and prudently applied to the contracted line, there could have been any question of this kind. The loan of \$22,500,090 is not required for the contracted line under these circumstances; it is wanted in consequence of the general engagements into which the Company has entered. Now, as I have said, I cannot, without explanation, acquiesce in these altered estimates. I cannot understand upon what they are based. It is true that we are told that the work is much lighter than was expected-that the contract is going to be much more profitable than was expected. These do not appear to be extremely valid reasons for advancing more public money to the fortunate contractors, but the question, whether they account for so large a sum as would be represented by this estimate of only \$27,-C00,000, being required to complete, is serious one. Now, if you a very apply the new proposition to the contracted line, as I have said, you will find that on any calculation of any moderate advance or application of the Company's own funds to that line, the public resources are adequate to carry on the work with reasonable rapidity. And the truth is, that under the proposed arrangement, it is not the Canadian Pacific Railway Company, but the Government practically, which will be providing funds to build the contracted line. The president says that this \$58,700,000, which he has provided includes the various items-which I do not challenge-which come from the public viz: subsidy, about \$12,300,000; land bonds, for which he gives credit for only a little over \$9,000,000, but the account says that \$9,200,000 have been received by the Company, and I suppose the rest is in the hands of the Government; bonuses and town sites, which he omits, \$690,000; and the earnings to the 80th of June last, which I presume to be about \$1,400,000, would make \$28,600,000. And then he inserts as part of what the Company has provided their floating debt, the which gives us a total of \$13,300,000 to be

for which we are called upon to providefor which we are going to give \$7,500,000, of this \$22,500,000 at once to meet this part of what the Company has provided, and which is included in the \$58,700,000. Why have they not provided it? It is because they have not provided it that we are here to-night. We have to provide it. We are to lend them the money and take a mortgage for it. He includes also the \$8, 700,000 for future dividends. I do not tbink myself, that it is an absolutely necessary expenditure upon the Canadian Pacific Railway, so far. It may have been a very prudent thing for these corporators to say to us: "As our stock is at forty-ix what it cost us, we would like to get 11 per cent, more while the work is going on, and we will insure ourselves three fifths of that amount; but in order to insure ourselves that we will deposit it; we could not deposit it ourselves in bank, because if any difficulty arose it would be taken away from us, but we will get the Government of the country to take it from us, and hold it for us, and pay it out to us in dividends from time to time, as the years roll on. We will hand over \$8, 700,000 of the present assets which otherwise could have been used in the work, in order that we may provide against a rainy day, in order that the Government and the country may provide for us-dole it out to us-from year to year, so that we may be secure of something." After having made that application of \$8,700,000 of what has been raised one way or the other,-to include it amongst the \$58,700,000 provided for the purposes of the railway, seems to me to be rather a broad proposition. Well, now, I deduct these two sums,—the floating debt we have to provide for, and the \$8,700, 000, which is locked up for the benefit of the shareholders in futuro; and I find that this, added to the \$23,600,000 of public resources to which I formerly adverted, makes \$39,800,000, leaving a balance of \$18,900,000 alone as provided for by the Company for the work. And from thisdealing with the contracted line-there are other deductions. The expenditure from Montreal to Callander includes the various items which the hon. gentleman gave us tonight in that connection—\$5,400,000; for branches, \$3,800,000; sundries, \$3,600,000; and Credit Valley Bonds, \$500,000 more,

deducted; leaving, dealing with the contrac- | debt besides. That is the statement; and ted line alone only somewhere about \$5,000, 000 of money provided by the Company out of its own resources. Now, Sir, that is the state of things with reference to the contracted line, and that, slightly modified, is the state of things with reference to the whole line. And these considerations once again show how ridiculous it is to say that this money would have been wanted had the Company dealt with this enterprise in the true spirit and with reference to the obligations of the contract. It is because that spirit and those obligations have been departed from and because other things have been entered into which may be useful, which may be profitable, and may be in the interest of the country, but which ought not to have been entered into the detriment of the ability of the public, that this money is required. The first thing for them to consider was: Can we perform our obligations to the publie !- before launching out in these various ways; but the first thing they did was to launch out. They cannot perform their obligations, and they come to us to enable them to do so. If, however, it be the case that there is no satisfactory explanation of the reduction in the estimate of cost; if the cost is still to be estimated at what it was estimated only nine or ten months ago, then it is clear that the calculations of the hon, gentleman entirley fail. It is clear, also, that his suggestion as to the financing entirely fails. If you only apply these calculations of debit and recepit to the present estimate, you produce one result, but if you apply them to the estimate of cost of ten months ago, you produce quite a different result. According to the present estimate of cost, which reduces the cost of the railway so very far below whatever it was estimated to cost before, you find this result: The Company owes \$7,500,000; it wants, to complete the railway, \$27,000,000, and it wants to pay its floating debt. It therefore wants \$34,500,000 of money. What is it to get from the Government? It is to get its subsidy, \$12,700,000, and a loan of \$22,500,000, or \$34,500,000 in money. It is therefore, according to the present estimate, to get every shalling that is necessary to complete the rore. from the country. It is to give, not merely every shilling necessary to complete the road, but every nation is given of how it happens that those

these results being ascertained, it is possible, and I put it forward as a subject for enquiry, that this floating debt does not itself include the \$5,000,000 temporarily borrowed on \$10,000,000 of stock; and if so, the result of the payment of the floating debt would be to free the \$10,000,000 of stock at the disposal of the Company. But meanwhile the hon. gentleman is able, triumphantly, to say, the road will be finished with this money—that is to say, if those estimates are correct. Why, the hon. gentleman who offered, with a lavish hand, to provide every dollar which is estimated to be necessary to finish the line from the beginning to the end, and to pay the floating debt, may well say, "I am making adequate provision for the construction of the Canadian Pacific Railway." the Company to perform its obligations to Yet if the estimates be under estimates, if they be not perfect estimates, if something like the cost of last year be the real cost, the account is quite on the other side, and large sums will have to be provided from some other source to meet the further demands. The hon. gentleman will say: Oh, they will get it out of the lands or further issue of stock, out of something from which they have failed to get it hitherto. But you, Sir, know the statement we have as to the condition of the road indicates there is no ground for believing in that result, because the loan is not to be repayable until 1891, which means it is not expected that very large resources will come from any other quarter before 1891; certainly not within the next two years; and if large resources are not to come from any other quarter within the next two years, then it is clear that if this estimate be an under estimate, if the estimate of last year be the correct estimate, more money will be wanted, and I think this night's proceedings will to" where that money will be asked from. The information we have had is, as I have stated more than once, wholly defective. I have pointed out enormous discrepancies in the estimate of the cost, extremely convenient in order to persuade Parliament to the view, that now at least, full provision is being made for the construction of the railway; extremely convenient in order to persuade Parliament to the view that it runs no risk of being applied to it again. But no explashilling necessary to pay off the fleating conveniently low estimates are so much

lowe mont Home In 18 that sold. that ! grant The r 500,0 Up to for th House port t 500,0 the to 000 M \$18,50 of bon 000; change pany is tribute expect which port. with a Minist giyear action, Compa eat out whole a remain cash w realized is the Land (we hear ferred t stateme sociated Compan West I which is Canadia sisting pany, ar

of some

this cou

the best

thing-t

were tol

-that i two-thire and Car \$5,000,0 demand

tatement; and l, it is possible, ubject for endoes not itself aporarily borok; and if so, the floating 10,000,000 of he Company. leman is able, road will be nat is to say, t. Why, the with a lavish which is esinish the line , and to pay нау, "I ат he construec Railway." estimates, if f something he real cost, her side, and rovided from further deill say: Oh, or further from which herto. But we have as licates there t result, beayable until spected that from any ertainly not if large reany other s, then it is under estiear be the ill be wanproceedings be asked had is, as wholly deormous dise cost, expersuade w at least, r the conmely conliament to risk of no explathat those

so much

lower than the estimates of only ten | man who wanted \$5,000 must subscribe for months ago. Of that we are entitled to some explanation. Then as to the sales. In 1882 the report made to Parliament was that there were 6,450,000 acres of land sold. The report made to Parliament was that there was \$17,300,000 worth of land grant bonds to be redeemed by those sales. The report made was that there was \$18,-500,000 worth of land grant bonds sold. Up to June, 1883, in the statistical return for the year, laid upon the Table of the House yesterday, the railway Company report their sales of land grant bonds at \$18. 500,000. The paper laid before us reports the total sales of lands, instead of 6,450,-000 acres to be 3,750,000 acres; instead of \$18,500,000 bonds sold, \$10,000,000 only of bonds were sold-fewer acres by 2,700,-000; fewer bonds by 8,500,000. This change in the circumstances of the Company is a great source of weakness I attribute their difficulty to the failure their expectations in regard to those transactions which resulted in the former flourshing report. And what I complain of is, that with allegations on the part of the hon. Minister that he was coming forward to give a perfectly frank statement of the trans-Company felt it their duty, to let the whole eat out of the bag and give the House the whole story, a large part of the cat's tail has remained inside the bag. Eight millions of eash which was expected, has not been realized in this branch alone. The reason is the failure of the Canada North-West Land Company to fulfil its contract; and we hear now, what I was about to have referred to even without the hon. gentleman's statement on the subject, how intimately associated the Canadian Pacific Railway Company has been with the Canada North-West Land Company. This corporation, which is known, unfortunately, to too many Canadians, was created with a view to assisting the Canadian Pacific Railway Company, and was composed, in part, I believe, of some of its corporators. The people of this country, were told it was going to be the best thing of the boom. It was the real thing-the cream of the North-West. They were told—the people here were persuaded -that individuals in England were to take more than it really was; to believe that two-thirds of the capital, or \$10,000,000, there was a demand for it that did not exist. and Canada was to be privileged to have It was a mis-application of the funds of the \$5,000,000; but they were told that the Canadian Pacific Railway. Mr. Stephen

\$10,000, because he would never got what he wanted unless he subscribed for double the amount. By this means they procured subscriptions of \$10,000,000, instead of \$5,600,000, in Canada, and they were told the deposit was all that would require to be paid, and the greedy Britisher would be so anxious to swallow the stock that Canadians would be able to send it across and sell it et an enormous premium. Subscriptions for \$10,000,000 were got here, and the list was sent to England and subscriptions, not for \$10,000,000, but for \$5,000,000, were obtained there. How much was obtained by others than the projectors I know not. The concern dragged. In my opinion this was because it was founded upon a false basisupon a false opinion as to the amount of capital required to work transactions of this description. The subscribers expected that sales would be made so quickly that they could pay for the lands without calling upon the stockholders; and the public of England did not take to a company involving such a large amount of liability which they thought would be called upon. The stockholders here, who had been told that if they wanted \$5,000 they would have to subscribe action, that he felt it his duty, and the for\$10,000, were told they had subscribed for \$10,000 and not for \$5,000, and some of them paid as long as they could, and then sold for a fraction of the \$10,000; and the loss to the capital of Canada by the operations of this Company was several millions of dollars. Now, we learn that our money given to the Canadian Pacific Railway Company to build this railway, has been expended, to the amount of \$600,000, in "sustaining" the stock of the North-West Land Company; that operations which, when they occur in banks, of bankers sustaining their own stocks, are treated very harshly, have been going on with re-pect to that Company. And the hon. gentleman says it was quite natural and proper and within their charter, because everybody knows how deeply interested they were in sustaining the stock. I do not think that was a proper application of the money at all. It was an application of the money which was to lead the investing public to believe the stock was worth demand was going to be so great that any says nothing at all in his statement of the

outside land sales or of the bonuses, but in the statement furnished to the Governthe return to Parliament shows \$200,000 of bonuses at Winnipeg, and I think \$490, 000 for town sites already realized. Then we did not get from him-though the hon. Minister has given some information-any information at all as to the working account, or as to the net earnings; and I was at a loss to understand whether the \$2,128,000 which he represented as paid for dividends was provided for partly by the net earnings, or whether it was exclusive of the net earnings. I gather, though I am not sure I am right, from the hon. gentleman's statement, that the net earnings are included in the \$587,000, spoken of by Mr. Stephen, and therefore he has given credit for them. But the statement would appear to show that that was money provided by the Company, though it was money accrued from the running of the road and ought to be set off against the interest paid on the capital so far. The hon, gentleman says the returns are satisfactory. I am sorry I cannot agree with him, and I am of the opinion, also, that on this subject we ought to have much more information. If I rightly read the return of the Canadian Pacific Railway for the year ending the 30th June, 1882, its profits on work over expenditure to that date are placed at about \$840-000; and I see by the return laid on the Table of the House, its profits over working expenses last year are placed at somewhere about \$537,000, only. The letter says nothing of that for a complete year, but it gives an account of the nine months which elapsed immediately preceding, showing some \$900,000 odd as the earning. of these nine months. One would like to know what this means. One would like to know what the true running account is, how far these nine months overlap the previous year; what is the meaning of the discrepancy in results between the complete year ending 30th June, 1883, and the complete year ending 30th June, 1882. Then it was not until this evening that, for the first time, we learned anything as to what the stock had realized. The letter gives no information about it. As I have already observed, we do not know how the stock has realized that amount; at which the \$30, 000,000, issued to the public, was sold; how much the Syndicate took, at what rate they took it; what, in other words, are the real merits of a transaction in which we have a be important for us to receive with a view very deep interest. Not one word is said to really ascertaining the value of the

ment and by the Government to Parliament as to the relations of the Company with alt the other roads to which I have referred, or their relation to the Hamilton and North-Western in which, in their interest, a large quantity of stock and bonds was purchased as I have been told by those concerned in that transaction. No explanation whatever either, is given by the president of the Company of the transactions with reference to the Laurentian Railway and the Canada Central, and the Quebec, Montreal, Ottawa and Occidental. The statements are rather difficult of apprehension. One statement is that there has been paid by the Company of those roads, \$3,200,000. I think the hon, gentleman to night said \$3,333,000. and I presume the statement of the president was made up to 31st of December. But taking \$8,200,000, round figures, there are mortgages for five and a half millions of dollars, it is said, which would apparently make a cost of \$8,700,000, for these roads. But if you will look at the statistical railway returns you will find there a statement that there is unpaid by the Canadian Pacific Railway on the Canada Central, in round figures, \$4,000,000, and on the Quebec, Montreal, Ottawa and Occidental, \$3,850, 000, a total of \$7,850,000. Add to this the \$3,200,000 that have been paid and you find \$11,000,000 as the cost of those roads instead of a smaller sum to which I have referred. To this has to be added, I presume the equipment and other charges. The payment of \$2,300,000 as interest on deposit and purchase money, equipment and other charges, will bring the cost up to a very high figure; but, of course, it includes the nugget of gold which the Company is so delicate in speaking about—the Laurentian Railway. We had no information until the hon, gentleman vouschsafed it to us to-night, as to the particulars of the expenditure of \$9,500,000 for roads towards the seaboard and for other purposes within the charter. We find now that it is considered a purpose within the charter to spend \$600,000 in sustaining the stock of a land corporation. or to buy stock in a United States railway, and I do not know what are the undefined purposes yet within the charter, when I find these are the defined and avowed purposes which are alleged to be included in it. There is much information which it would

statis which

main what

sum :

line v

do, o

amou

comp

trave

plete

of w

its di

what

so fa

to ki

feren

to kr

ence

into

with

min

give

banl

were

such

bank

we c

othe

to ac

cred

give

ed l

wou

in t

mad

2880

Onl

than

thre

tim

hap

Pac

ing

for

of

W

sta

div

of l

wil

mu

all

for

COL

las

th

ed to the Government to Parliament Company with all I have referred, or nilton and Northir interest, a large ids was purchased those concerned in planation whatever president of the ions with reference ay and the Canada Montreal, Ottawa tements are rather 1. One statement id by the Company 000. I think the said \$3,333,000. ment of the presi-31st of December. ound figures, there d a half millions of would apparently o, for these roads., ne statistical railthere a statement e Canadian Pacific Central, in round on the Quebec, ccidental, \$3,850, 00. Add to this e been paid and the cost of those r sum to which I as to be added, I and other charges. 00 as interest on ey, equipment and the cost up to a course, it includes the Company is so t—the Laurentian ormation until the edit to us to-night, e expenditure of rds the seaboard ithin the charter. nsidered a purpose end \$600,000 in land corporation, ed States railway, are the undefined charter, when I and avowed purbe included in it. n which it would eive with a view

he value of the

statistics given—how much of the sum which is said to have been spent on the main line is due to the incomplete line and what the finished line has cost. A large sum is said to have been expended on the line which is not yet complete. It will not do, of course, to divide that indefinite amount over the mileage of that which is completed, because that would make an extravagant cost of the mileage of the completed road. But we have no statement of what the completed railway has cost in its different sections. We ought to know what each separate section or link has cost, so far as it has been completed. We ought to know what are the arrangements with reference to the more easterly part; we ought to know the class of arrangements with reference to the central part and those entered into with the North American Company with reference to the work recently terminated. Last year some information was given to us. We were told what the embankment was in the prairie section; we were told that the enormous quantity, for such a line, of 15,300 cubic yards of embankment to the mile had been made, but we did not know what was done in the other places this year. When we are called to advance \$22,500,000 and to pledge our credit for night \$7,500,000 more, we are not given so much information as was vouchsafed last year when we were told no demand would be made upon us. We see nothing in the president's letters about the contracts made. We have not the instruments of association of these contracting parties. Only two days ago I heard there were more than one. I heard there were two, perhaps three different parties operating at different be realized by this proposed resolution.

""And how about your eastern connections? What about the North Shore?" That and all other matters in the east are for future consideration. I think we will do well if we get through from Montreal to the Pacific in two years." "and how about your bridge and eastern, connections to the Company with its contractors. The Company with its contractors. What dependence, under these circumscances, with no detailed estimate, with no statement if how much of what is expected to be paidwill be required for the eastern end, and how nuch will be required for the mountain end—what dependence can be placed on the llegation that the road will be completed. That is grand. Mr. Speaker. That is closed to the state of the speaker of the speaker of the speaker. That is grand. Mr. Speaker. That is grand. Mr. Speaker. That is grand. times; and since one of the firms has unhappily dissolved, owing the Canadian Pacific Railway \$600, 00, put in as providing for the work. It is really important for us to get at the bottom of the relations of the Company with its contractors. What dependence, under these circumstances, with no detailed estimate, with no division even of the cost, with nostatement of how much of what is expected to be paid. will be required for the eastern end, and how much will be required for the mountain end allegation that the road will be completed That is grand, Mr. Speaker

I was startled last fall to find reported the opinions of the general manager on this subject, and they correspond so admirably with results as they are developed here to-night, that I propo e to trouble you with some statements made to a reporter by the general manager some time about the period when the Company reached the foot of the Rockies, this fall:

"A reporter of the Montreal Star recently interviewed Mr. Van Horne with the following resu t:—' We are,' said Mr. Van Horne, 'at this season of the year at the very height of our expenditure, and it is safe to say that we are spending \$100,000 a day."

Then he states what they are doing and where they expect to be. He speaks also of the pass across the Selkirks, and so

"'Our line is now 'coated through from Montreal to Kam'cops, and, with anything like good luck, we will be through to that point where we join the Government work in about two years.' 'How much will it cost per mi e through the Rockies?' 'We don't know.' 'Have you not estimated the zmount beforehand?' 'The Canadian Pacific Rallway,' rep'ied Mr Van Horne, bracing himself up and speaking as if he wanted the reporter to under tand that he meant every word he said, 'has never estimated the cost of any work; it hasn't time for that; it's got a big job on hand, and it's going to put it through.' 'Well,' said the reporter, 'but if you haven't estimated the cost of the construction through the mountains, how do you know that you have sufficient funds to "ush the road, as you are currently reported to have?' 'We'l, if we haven't got enough, we will get more, that's all about it.'"

And so it is getting more. We are providing for it to-night. The Canadian Pacific Railway Company, Mr. Speaker, has not time to make estimates; it has got a big job on hand, and it is going to put it through; it does not know what its road will cost through the mountains, and if it has not got enough money it will get more. These are the views of the manager, and these are the views which we find have commended themselves to the prudence and judgment of the Ministry, and which are to be realized by this proposed resolution.

That is glorifor the estimated amount of \$27,000,000, ous. That is just what any of us would do contradicted as this is by the estimates of if there was no b ttom to our purses, if last year? I doubt the estimates. I doubt money was no object; that is just the way their accuracy. I doubt their definiteness. we would manage if we had the Dominion

of Canada to back us, to bank for us, to floating debt of \$7,500,000, and the future give us a guarantee without the authority wanted it. What is the need of estimates? What difference does it make how much it it through. We have the Government at our back, and between the Government and ourselves we call put it through. They will find the money, we shall find what we can, and they will find the rest. The president now says it is profitable to change the mode of estimating the subsidy. It was proper before to take the mode laid down in the contract. Now it is quite proper to adopt a mode which is the contrary to that laid down in the contract, the president believing it to be good for the Company, and the Government believing it to be good for the Government, and both being agreed that it ought to be done. It does seem to me that these statements furnish the ground for the proposition that we have not had sufficient information. say that it was the duty of the Government, before they committed themselves to the proposal to advance this money and to agree to this guarantee, to have had a thorough investigation into the affairs of the Canadian Pacific Railway Company, right to the bottom; I say it was their duty to have investigated most thoroughly the past, the present, and the future; I say it was their duty to have obtained full, ample information, to have got all the details, to have obtained the detailed estimate of the expenditure for completion, to have ascertained how it was that that estimate ran so very far short of the estimates of ten months ago, and to have established to their own satisfaction first, and as a preparation for submission to Parliament, all the particulars to which I have generally alluded to-night, as a preliminary to their reaching a decision. They did nothing of the kind. They decided first, and then they sent down two gentlemen to report as to whether they were right in coming to a decision or not; and that report is made while Parliament is sitting, within a day or two of this time, as I say just in preparation for this resolution being brought before the the main line—in one of his numerous 000. calculations, the only one which in this understand that the hon. gentleman now connection I will refer to - would cost finds fault with that estimate. He did find \$49,300,000, but in this is included the fault with it-

dividends of \$8,700,000, and therefore it of law if we wanted it, and to give us would not cost that amount according to twenty-two millions and a half more if we any fair estimate of funds provided by the Company. He said also that in case of default the country would get the road for, costs! We have a big job and we will put I think he said, \$54,000,000, and he staked his reputation upon the accuracy of that statement, and he repeated it time and again; and after the recess he repeated it once again, saying he had pointed out that we would get it for this sum-I forget exactly what it was - of forty or fifty millions, and that he had proved that fact, while it would cost ninety-seven or a hundred and three millions, for at one time he used the one figure and at another time the other. The hon, gentleman was wrong. He made a calculation of a most peculiar character. In summing up what the road cost to the country he charged, as a proper thing to be charged as paid by the country, the value of the lands that were sold, not indeed at the prices they had realized, but at his assumed estimate of two dollars, and he put in seven millions and a half for the three and three-quarter million acres as fairly chargeable as part of what the country has paid, and, with that item introduced into the calculation, he ran his figures up to something approximating to a hundred millions. He did not put in however, the land that was unsold as any part of the Then, when he came to ascertain the ultimate cost to the country, he took the land unsold, converted it into cash by a wave of his hand, made \$42,000,000 or \$43,000,000 out of it, and deducted that from the cost he had estimated before. Sir, where did the land come from? If it came from us, it is to be charged as part of the cost before he can make his calculation. Sir, the calculation is defective, and he had better submit it to Mr. Miall or Mr. Schreiber. The hon, gentleman adverted to estimates which had been formerly made of the cost of this road. It is quite true that I submitted to the House, as the result of the calculations of my hon. friend the member for East York (Mr Mackenzie), based upon the estimates of the engineers up to that date, the probable cost of a first-class railway, from Callander to Port The hon. gentleman has said that Moody, at the sum he mentions-\$120,000-That is perfectly true; I do not

E

d

tl

, and the future and therefore it int according to provided by the that in case of et the road for,), and he staked ccuracy of that d it time and s he repeated it ointed out that sum-I forget forty or fifty roved that fact. ty-seven or a for at one time t another time can was wrong. most peculiar what the road ed, as a proper by the country, were sold, not d realized, but wo dollars, and a half for the llion acres as hat the country em introduced his figures up to a hundred however, the y part of the ascertain the , he took the to cash by a 2,000,000 or deducted that nated before. from? If it ged as part of is calculation. tive, and he Miall or Mr. nan adverted ormerly made is quite true as the result a. friend the Mackenzie), he engineers le cost of a nder to Port --\$120,000-I do not tle man now

He did find

Sir CHARLES TUPPER. No, no. Mr. BLAKE. Yes, he said to-day that he could not controvert my argument about his estimate being too low, because my estimate was true. I venture to say that I heard a great deal of complaint against my argument when I used it. I was told that it was extravagant, and the hon. gentleman thought it was too much altogether; but neither my hon. friend from York, nor myself, was responsible for more than this, that the estimates were the fair results of the estimates of the engineers laid on the Table of the House and printed in the Sessional Papers. I believed them to be so, and that was all we said. I say that the estimates of the Canadian Pacific Railway Company last year came exactly to that figure. They were to spend \$91,000,000 on the road from Callander to Port Moody. The Government sections were to cost \$28,000,000; and if you add \$28,000,000 to \$91,000,000, you get just about \$120,000,000, as nearly as possible; and if you allow a trifle—if the hon. gentleman would be bending enough to-night to allow a trifle or so for the \$5,000,000 for surveys-you will find that the estimate of the Company last year does accord with the estimates of the engineers made so long before. But while I felt pretty confident, under the circumstances, that the Company were probably right, my confidence as to their present attitude is altogether shaken, because they are departing from their own estimate and the estimate of the engineers. They say it will cost \$24,000,000 less, and that \$24,000,000 is not on a capital of \$120,000,000, but on a smaller capital of \$91,000,000. The hon. gentle man adverted to some of my estimates of the price of the land, made in 1880. I did not think he would have done it. I was prepared for a good deal, but not for that; because I thought he would have remembered-unfortunately he forgot-that this was a calculation applying to the proposal of the Government, and the estimate of the Hon. First Minister, as to the price to be realized from the land situate within certain distances from the railway. We applied these figures of the Hon. First Minister, and out of his own mouth we convicted him of an extravagant contract. Now the hon. gentleman says, you estimated these figures. The hon. gentleman says that the earnings of the road are most satisfactory. Well, I do not know whether they are or not. I ment; of the employees of the Company,

should be glad to think they were; I hope they are. But in order that we may know whether the result is satisfactory or not, we should have the earnings of this eastern or disconnected portion given by themselves, as well as the earnings of the other part given by themselves. We want to understand the progression, the cause of the difference between the receipts of last year and this; we want to understand to what extent these earnings are due to the very high rates which were charged,—rates admittedly so high that they were lately reduced by 25 or 30 per cent. for east-bound grain. The hon. gentleman says there will be no default at all, and that if there is a default, what a good bargain the country will have. Well, as I have said, we have no proper data before us to-day to justify the reduced estimates of cost, that are given us. Without verifying and establishing these reduced estimates of cost, we have no proof that the funds provided are of themselves adequate to finish the road in two years. practically been stated to us that the lands will not realize much in the two years, and it is not expected that much of the Company's stock will be sold in the two years. Other things are required to be done. More branches have to be built; an expenditure of that description is wanted; and the Government takes authority, under this contract, to apply the proceeds of the stock, &c., in extensions and other things on the I say, therefore, that the whole calculations depend emphatically on the accuracy of the surprising estimates which, unvouched and discrepant from former estimates, have been laid before us. There is a third alternative. The hon. gentleman says it is either pay or hand over the road. I say that you may and probably will find the Company knocking at your doors again for further aid. I say that if you set them the example of these lavish subsidies, dealing as they have with outside enterprises, going on in the magnificent method in which they are going on, committed as you are, not merely by taking this mortgage but by giving these guarantees, you are powerless to close your hands against their further demands; and I say that although you may put in all these terrible clauses about the Company ceasing to own the road if they make default; of the road being ipso facto the property of the Governby some wonderful process of transmuta- cluded the early payments on colonization tion, becoming the employees of the Government-though you may make all these propositions, which are in themselves enough to appal the stoutest heart, if he did now know the ways of a Canadian Government, yet the Company may make default and may not lose the road. We have had other companies which did not lose their roads under similar circumstan-We had the Grand Trunk, to which we make advances on a first lien, a very first lien, a splendid first lien, and where is that lien now? It is away down among the dead men. I believe it is seen in the Public Accounts and in our balances, but it is visible nowhere else. So it might be here. It is impossible not to recognize the lesson and the story of the past, when looking at the present, and trying to forecast the future. And in what position would the Government and Parliament be if at the end of these two years default should be made? Are you going to sacrifice the interest of those shareholders—those poor people who have spent money on the road, who have done so much good to the country, who have built a road faster than ever a road was built before and spent more money upon it than ever was spent before ! Your charity and confidence and sympathy are immense: are you going to foreclose, hard hearted usurers that you are? You, who said yourselves that the security was worth two or three times the sum advanced, are you going to shut down and twn these people out of house and home, strip them of their palaces, take away their lordly equipages? Surely you will not behave so badly! That will be the appeal which will be made; that will be the appeal which will be listened to. The past tells us what the future will be. Now, then, the hon. gentleman has stated that the prosperity of North-West is due to the rapid construction of the Canadian Pacific Railway and he gave us a number of most interesting figures with reference to the development of that country in the last three years. We all rejoice in this development, and we are a'l familiar with the figures. We have had them before us many times. We know that the North-West has grown, but the question is not without another side to it. For example, the hon gentleman told us that he had received from lands, from the

schemes, and therefore it does not represent anything like a permanent source of income from lands. But we know also that in the same period during which he gave us those large receipts from lands, we expended the following sums in the North-West: On Indians, \$3,096,000; on Mounted Police, \$1,185,000, and on Dominion Lands, \$1,-340,000, making a total of \$5,571,000 in three items only, to be put on the debit side of the account. If, therefore, we are getting. we are also paying. If it were proved that this development was due to the extreme rapidity of construction of the Canadian Pacific Railway, I could have perceived some force in the hon, gentleman's argument as indicative of the proposition that this entitled the Company to consideration; though he does not say that. He says it is simply because it is in the country's interest that he is now moving. But, Sir. I have denied before, and I deny to-night, that the extreme rapidity of construction did tend to this development. I maintain that it has tended to produce certain evils in that country rather than benefits, and that a reasonable rapidity of construction would have been conducive to more permanent good, entirely irrespective of the enormously increased expenditures occasioned by that extreme rapidity. Then, it was urged as important that we should go fast in the future-not for the development of the North-West. We have gone through the North-West already, and what is important for the North-West is immediate freedom of railway communicationmoderate rates and more railways. That is what is wanted for the development of that territory-low rates and more lines; but what is proposed to hurry on the building of the railway on both sides of the North-West-on the Columbia side and on the Ontario side—and therefore, even if you could argue that the great developm nt of the North-West was due, not to the construction, but to the very rapid construction of the Canadian Pacific Railway, it would be nonsense to argue that there would be increased development in the North-West by the rapid construction of lines lying far outside of that Territory. The hon, gentleman has said that the cost of transport is enormously reduced. I was glad to hear that cheap rates are to be given; first of July, 1881, to the 31st December, but when the hon gentleman compared the 1889, \$3,572,000. We know that that in-old all-rail rate of \$31.50 from Quebec to

rs lli:

on colonization oes not represent source of income valso that in the he gave us those we expended the orth-West: On Mounted Police. nion Lands, \$1,of \$5,571,000 in. on the debit side e, we are getting. were proved that e to the extreme of the Canadian have perceived entleman's arguproposition that to consideration; t. He says it is the country's in-But, Sir, I ng. 1 deny to-night, of construction nt. I maintain luce certain evils an benefits, and of construction ive to more perrespective of the penditures occapidity. Then, it that we should for the develop-We have gone lready, and what -West is immeommunicationilways. That is elopment of that more lines; but on the building es of the Northside and on the ore, even if you developm nt of not to the conrapid construccific Railway, it rgue that there lopment in the construction of that Territory. aid that the cost reduced. I was s are to be given;

an compared the

from Quebec to

12, I do not think it was a fair comon. In the first place he took the old instead of the present all-rail rate, h is \$16.64, and compared it with the rail-and-water rate, which is \$12. It bt an important thing, but every pound wo of expense counts to the immigrant. Ir CHARLES TUPPER. The hon. leman must admit that I gave those

Certainly, the hon. genr. BLAKE. pan gave all the figures, and having n them, made this unfair comparison. ir CHARLES TUPPER, I gave the res, but I said it was not a published

Ir. BLAKE. Why was it not a pubir CHARLES TUPPER. I gave the

y published rate.

Mr. BLAKE. Does the hon. gentleman it was not an effective rate? The mister of Agriculture likes to ask us for arge vote, but he says: I will not tell you t I am going to do with it. That is the in which this was not a published rate the agents of the hon. gentlemau at he knew that they were able to offer this of \$16.64 for the last two years. Now, the hon. gentleman says a new rate is ng established. That is a good thing; he takes the responsibility off the Paci-Railway Company and lays it on the nister of the Agriculture, whose shouls are broad, and who is apparently lling to carry it. I was glad to hear of s move being made. It is of the last sequence, we have heard, that we should ep Canadian immigrants on our side, for or of their being diverted to the United I am glad to hear that there is no nger of their landing at New York, or hile travelling on their way, of being tercepted at Buffalo or elsewhere by the. ankee agents, but that steps will be taken protect them against having any preferce for the United States over Canada, d we shall be able to keep them in our on country. I have always felt a certain hount of humiliation myself that we shoul l obliged to bring in our own immigrants rough a foreign country; though I had ought that the merits of our own country ould have been sufficient, even in that se, to induce them to go through to their

nipeg with the new rail-and-water rate be however, in bringing our immigrants by way of New York and Buffalo, I do not know. The hon, gentleman has told us that the capabilities of the North-West are enormous: which is true; and he has given us an estimate of them. He has told us that a hundred thousand farmers would produce 640,000,000, bushels of wheat. I should certe aly be glad to see his figures realized; but it is new to my experience that a man puts every acre af his farm into wheat; and although the North-West is a gr at wheat country, I hardly think it is of such a character that every acre of every man's farm would produc, an average yield of wheat every year. And I fancy that if it did so, this return of 640,000,000 bushels is a return which would be rather laughed at than otherwise by those who know practically how many acres it takes in a large country, with varied climate, to produce a given quantity of wheat. However, it is not necessary to publish fantastic statistics of that character to establish to the world (on the contrary, I think it is injudicious) the true merits of the country. What we want to do for that purpose is to get authentic statements from practical men of what the country can produce. Nothing can be more convincing than the statement of a man himself, who has gone there as a farmer, who has met with the initial difficulties. whatever they are, who can speak from his own experience of what the soil can produce and who sees himself a happy and prosperous man, accumulating property. the other hand, nothing can be more unfortunate, nothing can be more calculated to do detriment to that country than to find people discontented, gathering together and complaining of oppressive taxation, vexation, regulations, high railway rates and elevator difficulties, and who find that progre-s and prosperity are not being attained. It is not fantastic calculations, made on a magnificent scale, on a desk in an office, woich only requires a pencil and paper to work out-it is only the actual life of the people living there, that will tell; and it is, therefore, de ply to be regretted, that we should have such actual results detailed as have, to a large extent, been published to the world during the last few months. agree with the hon, gentleman in his re marks on the first. That, no doubt, was an affliction which extended over a very stination in spite of the efforts of Ameri-large extent of territory, where it magents. How much advantage the remight was more exceptional than in the Norththat was an unexpected contingency on a recurrence of which we need hardly count if due precautions, such as the hon. gentleman has referred to, are taken. Though we cannot deny that there is a liability to frost in some portions of that country, still that such a calamity, on a large scale, should recur is not to be expected; and I think it right to say that causual and unexpected as it was, it was extremely unfortunate, and if the expectations of the Government and of the country at large as to immigration are not fulfilled to the extent we would wish, I dare say it will be largely due to that unfortunate accident for which they are not responsible. But I do regret that while that occurred at a critical period, ever to be regretted, which we could not help so many things have happened by our acts in this House and the acts of our Executive in the Council Chamber, which could have been avoided, and which, concurring with this unfortunate accident, have damped the hopes and weakened, to some extent, the energies of the people in that country, and diverted to a large extent the immigrants who would have settled in our North-West to go elsewhere, and give their energies to building up other interests. The hon. gentlemen will remember that if the North-West wants anything, it wants reasonable rates, the right to build railways, and more railways, and that as speedly as possible. That is the kind of development that is wanted there, and that country is not so deeply interested in the construction of the ends. Now the whole object of this proposal is placed on a very simple basis by the hon, gentleman. He says, that it is not because the Company wants this money to fulfil their contract. He says speaking somewhat more strongly than Mr. Stephen does in his letter that it is certain that the Company do not want anything whatever to fulfil their contract, and by 1891 the road will be finished according to their contract, and will be operated afterwards, if we reject this proposition. But he, says. we want this road to be finished by the end of 1885. A while ago it was to be finished at the end of 1836; now we want it to be finished at the end of 1885, -and why? North-West, develop the Partly to partly to obtain, or earlier obtain, power to compete with the Northern Pacific Railway for the the trans-continental traffic. Well, as to the grooves of trade, and so the contract is being interfered with. The

be West. We may fairly conclude that forth, I think that to-day they are much more easily changed than they used to be. th I think that if the Canadian Pacific Railof way is built so as to offer a good access, a be shorter line, and shorter communication 001 bei altogether between the one side of the continent and the other, it will be very easily br able to obtain its share of the traffic, no ter Pa matter whether it is constructed a year or two earlier, or a year or two later. I do VO not at all believe that the traffic will be are the prevented from going there, if it is the best the road, from the simple circumstance that its completion is delayed a year or two; and I lar. say, by bending all your energies now to the construction of the railway to the north of Lake Superior, and from the Rocky Mountains to Kamloops-by straining the every nerve to do that, you are preventing yourselves from doing what is most important in the interests of the North-West; and you are making arrangements to enter into a combination with the Company, identifying the Government as the endows ers of its dividends, as the mortgagees d. its road, to such an extent and so intimate with the Company, that instead of securit the glorious result of October last the list it is extremely probable that your arrange his ments, -which involve, the raising by this hir country, and the paying by the Canada n t Pacific Railway Company, within a period pp of two years, of something approximating air \$20,000,000 a year, because we have the si unpaid subsidy to pay as well as the \$22 di 500,000-will do much to depress and her damage the stocks of Canada, instead of tout improve them. There is really no tangible ac argument given for its extraordinary haste: he there is no argument upon which we can exrely; there is nothing that you can lay hold r of and say: this is a reason why we should guarantee their stock; this is a reason why we should advance them \$22,500,000 of the money. On the other hand the hon. gentleman holds out no hope of compensation; he holds out no hope of relief from the monopoly. He says, indeed As soon as the road is finished, I think that I shall no longer unconstitutionally veto Bills passed by the Legislature of the Province of Manitoba-I think I may promise you I will no longer do that. But he says, the contract must not be touched we are determined not to interfere with or limit the contract in any way. But, Sir.

lay they are much being handed over. The mode of paying the subsidy is being altered from the terms nan they used to be. of the contract. A guarantee of stock is nadian Pacific Railbeing given, which was not a term in the ffer a good access, a ontract; \$22,500,000 of our money is orter communication being loaned, which certainly was not eme one side of the conbraced in the contract. it will be very easily Suppose these terms had been put in the original Canadian e of the traffic, no Pacific Railway contract, would you have onstructed a year or voted for it, Mr. Speaker? Therefore we or two later. I do are not changing the contract? And yet the traffic will be the hon. gentleman is right in saying that there, if it is the best the contract is not changed in one particucircumstance that its ar. Although this enormous aid is given a year or two; and I n order that the road may be completed by our energies nows to e railway to the north \$85, it is not provided that the road shall e completed by 1885. You are providing and from the Rocky nloops-by straining them with all the money to do it, but they at, you are preventing as the most import of the North-West; arrangements to enter the case before now, a paying by the Canadan the years to come. I say that this is an mpany, within a period pportunity when such a stipulation may mething approximating cirly be made, and when we may fairly because we have the sist upon the resources which we are probecause we have the pass upon the resources which we are propay as well as the \$22 ding and enabling to be provided by all much to depress and less arrangements, not being applied to of Canada, instead of to itensions, not contemplated by the concerning is extraordinary haste; he had, gentleman has said that history out upon which we can see not show to-day a more courageous or ing that you can lay hold ring instance of action on the part of a presson why we should impany or government than that of this a reason why we should mpany or government than that of this a reason why we should apply or government than that of this ock; this is a reason why overnment when they entered into this see them \$22,500,000 or atract. Well, it was audacious; and the other hand the hon. If promises upon which they induced the out no hope of com rliament of the country to assent to it, colds out no hope of cre audacious also, and this night is the mopoly. He says, indeed sification of those promises—as I show the says of the coad is finished, I thinker when I point out hew utterly and longer unconstitutionally upletely the company has failed to realize by the Legislature of the se expectations on the faith of which toba—I think I may prose contract was made;—because I do not no longer do that. But, eve the country will agree for a moment also contractors for the execution of their eact must not be touched at it is simply in order to finish this road of not to interfere with or wo years that we are asked to engage in any way. But, Sir, mada's credit for \$30,000,000. When it

ing interfered with. The

security for the completion of the road is is found that the work has been handled unfortunately, as it has been, when it is found that the Company, by its imprudent management, has excited animosities and hostilities which have been very unfortunate for the Company when it has been found that the road has been proceeded with, on account of this very speed, in an unfortunate manner; when the creation of its capital stock has been unfortunate, and the Company has deprived itself of the confidence of the world of capitalists, it must be evident that the object of the Company is not speed to complete the road, but to get the money from us. The hon. gentleman has made out a case which is daring, which is audacious, but let us be prudent in our action. Let us decide that no case has been made out for this transaction, that it is better to go on, on the terms of the contract as it is, without engaging the capital and credit of Canada to this enormous amount, when no advantage will ensue from the alteration of our position and the entanglements in which we will be involved by this proposal that is not contained in the alternative now before us. I affirm first, the House has not been treated with due respect in reference to the obtaining of information and details which ought to have been laid before u-, in order that we might be able to julge; and secondly, upon such facts as have been laid before us it is plain further enquiry is necessary; that those things to which I have adverted with reference to the contract, the stock, the acquisition of other roads, ought to be searchingly enquired into. It is better, in the interests of the Company, that they should be fully brought to light, and that all should understand exactly how the case stands, and that the system of mystery, of concealment. of half truths, which has gone on should not continue further. It would have been better for the Company if we had known the exact facts as to the guarantee when the guarantee was given, as to the stock when the stock was issued, and as to the acquisitions of other lines when the acquisitions were made; because what we did know imperfectly was magnified and a

water a compared the part of the latter of the party property of the same and the s the property of the state of th ways, indispers of statement bring out religing one is because me as a manufaction and the second of could present a fire a price a transport and by the common years four and the the are supported by the transfer of their states and the transfer of the tran

Carrie un seculo seculo sele con monte de la comita del la comita de la comita de la comita del la comi short sould still a row of the part of the william section of the continue to the there is a few to be seen and the second to the second and the second The state of the s -distributed for college the college of the state of the second of the rest of the

to the second of a second temporal of the second of the se

and become and bed and more to their months of her many the type to government and secretary at the speed only need that a land, ever make that consistentian and a slight have contained in the term of the contained and the conta

from major, there exists a first transfer and the little and l

with the state of the state of

well in and it is a long to below your out of the continue

on the state of the same of the second of the same of

with the accusery entities exclusive over the con-

call to animateness to two old to return of the

The continue of the continue o

Rest or though how one an arrange was to a reading extremental source total a group.

tale as solve secured, then representation of the later to secure and the secure of th

Environment to the second control of the control of

The so appealed work to represent the property of the property of the sound that

arthur Love gotter test evidently out over

liv ow that the program before the work will

the proposal must see that it is unadvisable with this state of things, we are a to accept it. We are face to face with a condition of things, financially, which will which no re son has been given a be the subject of discussion in a few days, which I pretend no countervailing and it behooves us to be prudent—to pause tages are offered. I, therefore, can before imposing additional engagements at my assent to this proposal. The transfer of the compact of the c

different sections. Even those friendly to this time on the country Face enter into those large engagement

